

Original Research Article**A New combination fungicide for management of sheath blight disease of paddy****ABSTRACT:**

Aims: Present study was under taken to determine the field efficacy of a new combination fungicide azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC against sheath disease of rice.

Study design: Randomized complete block design (RCBD).

Place and Duration of Study: All India Co-ordinated Rice improvement Programme, Agricultural Research Station, Gangavathi (5.4319° N, 76.5315° E), Karnataka, India, during *Kharif* 2014 and *Rabi* 2014-15

Methodology: Experiment was designed with seven treatments of three replications each. A new formulations viz., azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC was tested in three dosages (500, 750 & 1000 g/ha) along with other fungicidal treatments such as azoxystrobin 23 %SC @500 gm/ha, tebuconazole 25.9 %EC @ 750 gm/ha and validamycin 3% L @ 2000 gm/ha. Bioefficacy was analysed after spraying all the test chemicals thrice at 15 days interval starting from initiation of the disease

Results: The combination fungicide azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC @ 1000 ml/ha was found effective against sheath blight disease recording least percent disease index (PDI) of 10.93 during *Kharif* 2014. Similar result was observed in *Rabi* 2014-15 where same test fungicide azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% at 1000 ml/ha recorded the least PDI of 11.60. Compared to azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3%, other test fungicides such as azoxystrobin 23 % SC, tebuconazole 25.9 % EC and validamycin 3% L recorded highest PDI in both *Kharif* 2014 and *Rabi* 2014-15. Significant increases in the grain yield over other treatments (75.27 q/ha) was observed in the plots treated with test chemical azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC at 1000 ml/ha in *Kharif* 2014 and 57.96 q/ha in *Rabi* 2014-15. Whereas, other fungicidal treatments recorded the yield range of 59.25-62.17 q/ha in *Kharif* 2014 and 45.84 – 56.82 q/ha in *Rabi* 2014-15.

Conclusion: Present investigation provides the field efficacy of the fungicide mixture Azoxystrobin 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC @ 750-1000 ml/ha for management of sheath blight disease of paddy.

Key words: *Rice, Azoxystrobin 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC, Sheath blight, PDI, Rhizoctonia solani*

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most important cereals of the world and is consumed by 50% of the world population (1). In India, it is cultivated on an area of 53.2 million hectares with a total production of 99.8 million tons. In Karnataka it is cultivated on an area of 1.53 million hectares with a total production of 3.80 million tons (2). Rice crop under field condition is affected by many biotic constraints. Among the biotic constraints, disease caused by fungal pathogen like rice sheath blight is more frequent and destructive in irrigated rice of both temperate and subtropical areas and it causes damage at all the stages of crop growth (3).

Sheath blight is caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn., is an important disease of rice occurs in all the rice production areas of the world and causes more economic yield losses (4-6). In India, a modest estimation of losses due to sheath blight disease alone has been up to 54.3 % (7,8) and this disease is particularly most prevalent in intensive rice cultivation system due to excess use of nitrogenous fertilizers. And 5-10% yield loss reported in subtropical low land paddy cultivars of Asia (5).

Under field condition, fungicide based management is most successful in majority of the cases (9-11). Most of the fungicides such as benomyl, carbendazim, chloroneb, captafol, mancozeb, zineb, edifenphos, iprobenphos, thiophanate, carboxin, etc. have been found effective under field conditions (12-14). Recently many combination fungicides such as kresoxim methyl 40% + hexaconazole 8%, azoxystrobin 18.2% + difenoconazole 11.4% SC, trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50% 75 WG, and kasugamycin 5% + copper oxychloride 45% WP, have been shown to control the sheath blight disease under field condition (10, 15-17).

Continuous use of fungicides belonging to same group or having same mode of action will lead to the development of fungicide resistance in fungal population and hence, it is necessary to search for a new fungicidal molecule with dissimilar mode of action (17). Keeping this view, the present study was under taken to determine the field efficacy of a new combination fungicide azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC against sheath disease of rice under field conditions.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. Layout, Fungicides and Crop establishment: A field experiment was conducted at the experimental fields of Agricultural Research Station, Gangavathi, Karnataka (5.4319° N, 76.5315° E) during *Kharif* 2014 and *Rabi* 2014-15 in randomized complete block design (RCBD). A popular rice

variety BPT5204 which is susceptible to sheath blight disease was used for the study. Seeds of the rice variety BPT5204 were sown in the month of July and planted in August (for *Kharif* 2014 experiment). Whereas, for *Rabi* 2014-15 experiment, seeds were sown the month of November and planted in the month of December. Land was prepared as per the standard agronomical practices. The experiment was laid out in RCBD with a plot size of 5 x 4 m each for all treatments. Seedlings of 30 days old were planted in trail plots at 20X10 cm spacing. All standard agronomic practices were followed except using higher nitrogenous (200 kg ha⁻¹) and lower pottasic (50 kg ha⁻¹) fertilizer dose than the normal dose (N₂:P₂O₅:K₂O::150:75:75).

Experiment was designed with seven treatments of three replications each. A new formulations viz., azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC was tested in three dosages (500, 750 & 1000 g/ha) along with other fungicidal treatments such as azoxystrobin 23 %SC @500 gm/ha, tebuconazole 25.9 %EC @ 750 gm/ha and validamycin 3% L @ 2000 gm/ha. Bioefficacy was analysed after spraying all the test chemicals thrice at 15 days interval starting from initiation of the disease.

2.2. Artificial inoculation:

A virulent local isolate of *R. solani* was artificially multiplied on typha grass and were used for artificially inoculation to all experimental treatments after 45 days of planting following the 'mycelium with typha grass' method described previously (18).

2.3. Disease assessment and statistical analysis:

In both *Kharif*-14 and *Rabi*-2014-15 experiment, sheath blight disease was measured in all treatments 10 days after the fungicide application. The disease was measured using the disease rating scale of 0-9 developed by International Rice Research Institute (IRRI. 1996) for sheath blight disease. Further, the scored data was converted into per cent disease index (PDI) using formula given below. The data on the yield were recorded by marking 2x 2 m section within each plot using a wire frame as described by (19).

$$PDI = \frac{\text{Sum of the scores}}{\text{Number of Observation X Highest Number in Rating Scale}} \times 100$$

2.4. Statistical analysis:

All the observation on disease severity and yield parameters were subjected to appropriate statistical analysis.

3. RESULTS and DISCUSSION:

In recent years the combination fungicides are most widely used for disease management under field condition because of their curative action, broad host range and lower dosage compared to solo fungicides. In paddy the efficacy of such combination products in managing much fungal disease has been reported (10,15-17).

In the present study the field experiment was revealed that the treatment azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC @ 1000ml/ha recorded lowest PDI of sheath blight in *Kharif* 2014 (10.93) and *Rabi* 2014-15 (11.60) compared to other treatments. In *Kharif* 2014 and *Rabi*-2014-15, the data also suggested that the other fungicides of the experiment such as Azoxystrobin 23 %SC @ 500 ml/ha, validamycin 3% L @ 2000 ml/ha and tebuconazole 25.9 %EC @ 750 ml/ha are on par with among themselves but stands next to the azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC @ 750-1000 ml/ha (Table 1 & 2). These findings are are in consistent with the results of previous investigations, where trifloxystrobin 25% + tebuconazole 50 % w/w SC @ 0.4 g/l performed better in reducing the sheath blight severity. Similarly, Bhuvaneshwari and Raju (10) reported that better efficacy of combination fungicide azoxystrobin 18.2% + difenconazole 11.4% SC (strobilurin + triazole) against sheath blight disease. Various experimental reviews confirmed that strobilurin compounds found to be effective in controlling many diseases like leaf blast, (Pramesh et al., 2016), sheath blight (17, 20-21), grain discolouration (16) and sheath rot and brown leaf spot (22). In this experiment, our report also confirms the better efficacy of strobilurin derived fungicide against sheath blight disease of rice.

Application of fungicides has been reported to enhance the crop yield due to reduction in disease load (10, 17, 20-24). In our experiment, the difference in disease severity of sheath blight in different treatment was observed (Table 1& 2) and it was finally reflected in the grain yield (Table 3). Significant increase in the grain yield in *Kharif* 2014 (75.27 q/ha) and *Rabi* 2014-15 (57.96 q/ha) was observed in the plot treated with test chemical azoxystrobin 11% + tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC @ 1000 ml/ha. Whereas, other fungicidal treatments recorded the yield range of 59.25- 62.17 q/ha in *Kharif* 2014 and 45.84 - 56.82 q/ha in *Rabi* 2014-15. The increased yield is mainly due to reduced disease severity of sheath blight.

4. CONCLUSION:

Present investigation provides the field efficacy of the fungicide mixture Azoxystrobin 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC @ 750-1000 ml/ha for management of sheath blight disease of paddy.

REFERENCE:

1. Luo, Y. P.S., Tang, N.G., Febellar, D.O., and TeBeest. Risk analysis of yield losses caused by rice leaf blast associated with temperature changes above and below for five Asian countries. *Agri. Ecosys. & Environ.* 1998; 68:197-205.
2. Anonymous (2011). *Agriculture Situation in India*.
3. Bonman JM, Estrada BA, Kim CK, Ra DS, Lee EJ. Assessment of blast disease and yield loss in susceptible and partially resistant cultivars in two irrigated low land environments. *Plant Dis.* 1991;75:462-466
4. Ou SH. *Rice Diseases*, 2nd edn. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Surrey. 1985.

- 146 5. Savary S, Willocquet L, Elazegui FA, Castilla N, Teng PS. Rice pest constraints in tropical
147 Asia: quantification and yield loss due to rice pests in a range of production situations. *Plant*
148 *Dis.* 2000;84:357-369.
- 149 6. Savary S, Teng PS, Willocquet L, Nutter FW Jr. Quantification and modeling of crop losses : a
150 review of purposes. *Annual Rev. Phytopathol.* 2006 ;44:89-112.
- 151 7. Rajan CPD. Estimation of yield losses due to sheath blight of rice. *Indian Phytopathol.*
152 1987;40: 174-177.
- 153 8. Roy AK. Sheath blight of rice in India. *Indian Phytopathol.* 1993;46:97-205.
- 154 9. Kandhari J, Gupta RL. Efficacy of fungicides and resistance inducing chemicals against
155 sheath blight of rice. *J. Mycological Res.* 2003;41: 67-69.
- 156 10. Bhuvaneshwari V, Raju KS. Efficacy of New Combination Fungicide against Rice Sheath Blight
157 Caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* (Kuhn). *J. Rice Res.* 2012;5 (1 & 2).
- 158 11. Kumar PMK, Sidde Gowda DK, Rishikant M, Kiran Kumar N, Pandurange Gowda KT,
159 Vishwanath K.. Impact of fungicides on rice production in India In: *Fungicides showcases of*
160 *integrated plant disease management from around the world (open access chapter).* 2013;
161 pp. 77-98.
- 162 12. Dash SC, Panda S. Chemical control of rice sheath blight disease. *Indian Phytopathol.*
163 1984;37:79-82
- 164 13. Kannaiyan S, Prasad NN. Effect of foliar spray of certain fungicides on the control of sheath
165 blight of rice. *Madras Agricultural J.* 1984;71:111-114.
- 166 14. Singh R, Sihna AP. Comparative efficacy of local bioagents, commercial bioformulation and
167 fungicide for the management of sheath blight of rice under glass house conditions. *Indian*
168 *Phytopathol.* 2004;57:494-496.
- 169 15. Kumar PMK, Veerabhadraswamy AL. Appraise a combination of fungicides against blast and
170 sheath blight diseases of paddy (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J. Exper. Biol. Agricultural Sci.* 2014;2:49-
171 57
- 172 16. Bag MK, Saha S. Fungitoxic effect of Nativio 75 wg (trifloxystrobin 25%+ tebuconazole 50%)
173 on grain discoloration (GD) disease of rice in West Bengal. *Pestol.* 2009;33: 47-49
- 174 17. Pramesh D, Maruti, Muniraju KM, Mallikarjun K, Guruprasad GS, Mahantashivayogayya K,
175 Reddy BGM, Gowdar SB, Chethana BS. Bio-efficacy of combination fungicide against Blast
176 and Sheath Blight disease of Paddy. *Journal of Experimental Agri. International.* 2016;19:955-
177 961
- 178 18. Bhaktavatsalam G, Satyanarayana K, Reddy APK, John VT. Evaluation of sheath blight
179 resistance in rice. *Int. Rice Res. Newsl.* 1978;3: 9-10.
- 180 19. Seebold KW, Dantof JLE, Correa-Victoria FJ, Kucharek TA, Snyder GH. Effects of Silicon
181 and fungicides on the control of leaf and neck blast in Upland rice. *Plant Dis.* 2004;88:253-
182 258.
- 183 20. Pramesh D, Nataraj K, Guruprasad G.S, Mahantashivayogayya, Reddy BGM. Evaluation of a
184 new strobilurin group of fungicide for the management of blast disease of paddy. *American J.*
185 *Experimental Agri.* 2016; 13(5): 1-6.

- 186 21. Bag MK, Yadav M, Mukherjee AK. Bioefficacy of Strobilurin Based Fungicides against Rice
187 Sheath Blight Disease. *Trascriptomics*. 2016; 4:128.doi:10.4172/2329-8936.1000128.
- 188 22. Biswas A, Bag MK. Strobilurins in management of sheath blight disease of rice: A review.
189 *Pestol*. 2010;34: 23-26.
- 190 23. Usman GM, Wakil W, Sahi ST, Saleemil Yasin. Influence of various fungicides on the
191 management of rice blast disease. *Mycopathol*. 2009;7:29-34.
- 192 24. Naik GR, Naik GB, Naik BT, Naik KR. Fungicidal management of leaf blast disease in rice.
193 *Global. J. Bio science and Biotechnol*. 2012;1(1): 18-21
- 194

Table. 1. Effect of Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC application of against sheath blight disease on rice during *Kharif* - 2014

SL. No	Treatments	Product Concentration (%)	Product ml or gm/ ha	Sheath blight PDI				Percent disease Control
				Initial score	Ten day after 1 st spraying	Ten day after 2 nd spraying	Terminal score (Ten days after 3 rd spraying)	
1	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	55+91.5	500	6.67 (14.96)	10.93 (19.30)	13.33 (21.42)	16.67 (24.09)	58.71
2	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	82.5+137.25	750	6.11 (14.31)	7.41 (15.79)	9.26 (17.72)	11.11 (19.47)	72.47
3	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	110+183	1000	6.48 (14.75)	7.22 (15.59)	9.07 (17.53)	10.93 (19.30)	72.93
4	Azoxystrobin 23 %SC	125	500	6.30 (14.53)	10.00 (18.43)	12.04 (20.30)	15.37 (23.08)	61.93
5	Tebuconazole 25.9% EC	187.5	750	6.11 (14.31)	11.11 (19.47)	13.15 (21.26)	15.93 (23.52)	60.54
6	Validamycin 3% L	60	2000	6.48 (14.75)	10.37 (18.79)	12.78 (20.94)	15.74 (23.37)	61.01
7	Control	-	-	6.11 (14.31)	16.11 (23.66)	27.22 (31.45)	40.37 (39.45)	-
	CD at 5% level			N.S.	1.51	1.73	1.81	

Note: The figures in the parenthesis are Arc sin transformed values

Table 2: Effect of Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC application of against sheath blight disease on rice during *Rabi* – 2014-15

S. No	Treatments	Product Concentration (%)	Product ml or gm/ ha	Sheath blight intensity of rice				Percent disease Control
				Initial score	Ten day after 1 st spraying	Ten day after 2 nd spraying	Ten days after 3 rd spraying)	
1	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	55+91.5	500	8.00 (16.43)	11.60 (19.91)	13.67 (21.69)	17.33 (24.61)	58.71
2	Azoxystrobin 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	82.5+137.25	750	7.45 (15.84)	8.08 (16.52)	9.59 (18.04)	11.78 (20.07)	71.95
3	Azoxystrobin 11% + Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	110+183	1000	7.80 (16.22)	7.89 (16.31)	9.40 (17.86)	11.60 (19.91)	72.38
4	Azoxystrobin 23 %SC	125	500	7.67 (16.08)	10.67 (19.07)	12.37 (20.59)	16.04 (23.61)	61.81
5	Tebuconazole 25.9% EC	187.5	750	7.45 (15.84)	11.78 (20.07)	13.48 (21.54)	16.60 (24.05)	60.48
6	Validamycin 3% L	60	2000	7.83 (16.25)	11.04 (19.41)	13.11 (21.23)	16.41 (23.90)	610.93
7	Control	-	-	7.45 (15.84)	18.78 (25.68)	28.68 (32.38)	42.00 (40.40)	-
	CD at 5% level			N.S.	1.87	2.10	2.27	

216 **Note:** The figures in the parenthesis are Arc sin transformed values

217 **Table 3: Effect of application of Azoxystrobin 11 % +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC against Sheath blight of rice during *Kharif* – 2014 and**
 218 **Rabi-2014-15**

SL. No.	Treatments	Product Concentration (%)	Product ml or gm/ ha	Grain Yield (q/ha)	
				<i>Kharif</i> - 2014	Rabi 2014-15
1	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	55+91.5	500	59.25	45.94
2	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	82.5+137.25	750	74.83	56.82
3	Azoxystrobin 11% +Tebuconazole 18.3% w/w SC	110+183	1000	75.27	57.96
4	Azoxystrobin 23 %SC	125	500	62.17	48.86
5	Tebuconazole 25.9% EC	187.5	750	61.68	46.19
6	Validamycin 3% L	60	2000	62.15	45.84
7	Control	-	-	52.25	38.00
CD at 5% level				3.02	2.89

219

220