



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_30851
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF SORGHUM-LEGUME INTERCROP ON QUALITY AND RUMEN DEGRADABILITY OF SORGHUM STOVER IN ADAMAWA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original research paper for Publication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Your tables were not correctly inserted, they are scattered and unreadable they should be inserted in table format not as word. 2. Line 126 which statistical package is used in analysing the result. 3. There is need to graphically shows the degradability of your treatments with time of incubation period. 4. so also the washing lost, you went silent about it <p>Ethical Issues: Actually there is not, especially in this part of the country.</p>	The research was conducted in conformity with ethical considerations and does not have any conflicting ethical issues. The animals were also managed in accordance with the guidelines of using animals in animal experimentation , taking adequate care of the welfare of the animals.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Line 109 the removal was according to specified incubation period as indicated..... Recast 2. line 56 annual rainfall should be Average or in range because it cant be exact figure 3. line 202 if there is no significance difference between the treatments then there is no need of discussing which one is better than which 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Why did you use a single animal in this research? 	Cannulation is a tedious task and normally in practice, a single animal can be a good representative, though more numbers will increase the precision of the result.