



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_38044
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploitation of cold plasma technology for seed germination enhancement
Type of the Article	Mini Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Paper is lengthy, can be summarised. 2. Reference for Figure 1 is not in the text, but a figure appears as fig 1. 3. Conclusion stated that 'Cold plasma can be considered as the alternative technology for enhancement of seed germination rate and plant yield', but no evidences were given in the text on increasing yield. 4. All publications cited in the text should be presented in the list of references in alphabetical order. All cited references are not listed and all listed references are not cited. 5. The following paper is not in the reference list, but include in the text. Shen et al 2016 etc... 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Few sentences have been removed. 2. Figure 1 is given in the text 3. The word increasing yield is removed 4. The references are given in number format 5. Reference is added to the list
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Revisions has to be done as above comments	All the comments of the reviewer has been addressed
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Use the scientific writing skills for elaborations	