



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_37878
Title of the Manuscript:	Organic Minerals in Poultry
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is very good. I think is necessary more citations with show performance and, specially, physiology effects in the poultry. Add more information, and, in my opinion, will be more interesting if the authors answers these questions: "how the organic minerals promote the poultry production antibiotic-free?"..."what the effect in the gut health?"..."why use the organic mineral, if I need pollution reduction in the poultry farms?"...	Organic minerals are higher bioavailability than inorganic minerals because they are not antagonized by various interfering substances present in the diet due to their inert nature, so they perform their catalytic action better than inorganic and improve the performance of the poultry. Also they are better absorbed and less excreted so no environmental pollution or methane production would be there.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		