

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_37878
Title of the Manuscript:	Organic Minerals in Poultry
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Good review article, the level of knowledge of the biochemistry and metabolism of the essential and toxic minerals for poultry is detected.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>As it is a review article, I suggest including a table with the nutritional requirements of the essential minerals for the poultry, if possible, for the most common species,</p> <p>I suggest including the definition of acronyms and / or terms included and that do not appear (some are highlighted in the manuscript in pdf).</p> <p>Question: in figure 1, what mean does "Lumen"?</p> <p>I suggest a revision of the form of the text, given that it presents some errors of typing and presentation of the nomenclature of the chemical substances (highlighted in the manuscript in pdf).</p>	Q.1 Here lumen depicts the inside space of intestine.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		