



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_37784
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro propagation and analysis of genetic stability of in vitro propagated plants of Jaspi- a clonal rootstock of Prunus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors should include in the discussion aspects of culture media for micropropagation linked with the results presented in the Table 1.</p> <p>The authors need clarify the information in the line 63, page 2 "samples from plant material being multiplied for the last more than six years". How many subculturing after the initial was realized? The Genetic stability analysis was performed in which subculturing?</p>	<p>Authors have critically gone through the manuscript and have included the aspects of culture media in the discussion part as suggested by the esteemed reviewers of your reputed Journal.</p> <p>After the initial culture, one subculture per 3 to 4 weeks was carried out as by 3-4 weeks the medium in the flask gets exhausted and sometimes the remaining may turn brown due to excess phenols in Prunus. The genetic stability analysis was performed at around 80th subculture.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		