



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_37784
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro propagation and analysis of genetic stability of in vitro propagated plants of Jaspi- a clonal rootstock of Prunus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I have already examined the manuscript, " In vitro propagation and analysis of genetic stability of in vitro propagated plants of Jaspi- a clonal rootstock of Prunus ". It is very elaborate study for horticulture. It contains valuable datas.	Authors have tried their level best for the same.
Minor REVISION comments	In the introduction part,(15. Row). You can use another major reference.	Authors have tried to find out any other reference for this Row number 15 but the same line has been used by many internet sites, infact at google book results also but no one has mentined for the reference. That is why Authors have used (www.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prunus) as reference.
Optional/General comments	It can be publish with some minor revisions	Authors have made all the changes, wherever possible, suggested by worthy reviewers.