



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_36329
Title of the Manuscript:	AGRO-POTENTIALITY OF TREATED PAPERBOARD MILL EFFLUENT ALONG WITH ORGANIC AMENDMENTS ON GROWTH AND YIELD CHARACTERISTICS OF OKRA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The abstract and the introduction are identical, the summary should be improved. The introduction is very small and does not address the importance of the study. The introduction is only 11 lines, must have at least 25 lines. What is the purpose of the research?</p> <p><b>Key words: Treated Paperboard Mill Effluent, Okra, Growth characteristics, Organic Amendments</b></p> <p>Keywords should not appear in the title</p> <p>Improve the discussion of data and figures.</p> <p>Other variables such as number of leaves and branches are specified in the methodology, but there is no comment on them in the results. They were not meaningful, so why did this happen?</p> <p>The results should be improved, discuss the data obtained.</p> <p>The conclusion is enormous. What do you conclude? What water should be applied? Which fertilizer?</p> <p><b>Plagiarism issue:</b></p> <p><a href="http://www.gkpublication.in/IJRR_Vol.1_Issue%203_Nov_2014/3.pdf">http://www.gkpublication.in/IJRR_Vol.1_Issue%203_Nov_2014/3.pdf</a></p>	<p>Introduction is changed.</p> <p>Keywords are changed.</p> <p>Number of branches are given in figures</p> <p>Plagiarism was checked using</p> <p><a href="https://smallseotools.com/plagiarism-checker/">https://smallseotools.com/plagiarism-checker/</a></p> <p>and the results showed that the document <b>100% original</b>. Only 10% hits are similar to my previous paper which is not published and submitted to IASET journals.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		