



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_36320
Title of the Manuscript:	Community-based fisheries management approach adopted in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper is scientifically innovative, interesting and the result is useful, especially focusing on CBMF areas. However, the result implication should be strengthened and highlighted at the discuss sessions. I would suggest accepting the publication after minor revision.</p> <p>Comments for the authors: INTRODUCTION: 1. The concept of CBMF should be introduced briefly, in line 74 – 80...Please explain what does CBMF means and how is it defined related to CBMF in Banglandesh. 2. What is the state of the knowledge of this research? From what I see I have found this research only reports what has been done by the project. So I suggest that the author should be able to convey the novelty of this research. What's different CBMF in Bangladesh from CBMF elsewhere in the world.</p> <p>MATERIALS AND METHODS: I would like to see some information in the written text the CMBF and Control site. Please give more detail information..</p> <p>DISCUSSION In the discussion sessions, especially in the final section should be given an explanation of policy implications. For example how is CBMF going in Banglandesh. What programs and activities should be done by stakeholders.</p>	<p>Thanks for accepting the paper with minor revision.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION: 1. Brief explanation on CBFM provided 2. Thanks, briefly explained and its possible implication elsewhere in the world provided.</p> <p>MATERIALS AND METHODS: Information provided for CBFM and control sites.</p> <p>DISCUSSION: Thanks for this vital suggestion, agreed and provided accordingly.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		