



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_35922
Title of the Manuscript:	Nickel/CNTs Composite Electroplating
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is clear that English is not the official language of the author(s) hence, there are so many grammatical errors that have been pointed out for correction	Thank you for your comment. We have corrected the Grammatical and sentences errors in the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments	Grammatical and sentences errors	We have checked and corrected the grammatical and sentences errors.
Optional/General comments	The introduction has to be improved upon. You can resend the manuscript to me after those corrections pointed out have been effected.	We have improved the introduction of manuscript.