



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_35605
Title of the Manuscript:	An analysis of Socio-personal characteristics of apple growers and their attitude towards apple cultivation in district Shopian of J&K
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript lacks a Discussion of Implications for Research and Implications for Practice. The authors should make reasoned recommendations for research and practice that relate to the various categories that they have analysed. For example, if my reading of the manuscript is correct, farmers at higher altitudes have different attitudes to those at lower altitudes. So, how should policy address these differences?	Done
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		