



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_35461</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Stability analysis for grain yield and micronutrients in bread wheat genotypes</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	The article is dealing with important item (stability of yield and micronutrients in bread wheat) for plant breeder. However number of environments used (three) is too small, it should be not less than six as recommended by Eberhart and Russell. It would be much better if number of environments is 6 or more. The paper is scientifically good written.	This paper is based on international wheat material provided by CIMMYT, Mexico as "Harvest Plus Yield Trial". They provided only three sets of trial in Punjab. So we cannot sow this trial on more locations.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Few mistakes should be corrected.	Corrections are done.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	No more comments	