



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_35223
Title of the Manuscript:	General mathematical rules regulating the process of species accumulation during progressive sampling: the variations of the numbers of singletons, doubletons,..., x-tons with increasing sampling-size
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Well presented math proofs about numerical calculations what are showing the big quality of authors.</b>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	It would be more readable to write formulas in TeX, not in line by usual typing. Also add space before and after line with formula. And numbering has to be at the right side of all text.	LaTex software was currently unavailable for me. Moderately increased spaces have been set up between formulas, as required
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Abstract should be more shortly to understand the key concept of the article.	Abstract has been entirely revised, slightly shortened and more deliberately focused on the practical concerns involved