



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_34767
Title of the Manuscript:	Principal dimensions of regional agricultural & socio-economic disparities in Haryana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The abstract of the manuscript represents the objectives of the manuscript and the methods leading to their achievement, including a brief formulation of the conclusions reached by the author of the manuscript. As a part of the abstract, I can recommend a description of the own motivation and rationale for the need to research in the area. The authors of the manuscript would also more emphasize their own contribution to the problem solved. The introductory chapter does not provide a sufficient overview of the current state of knowledge in the field, which needs to be substantially expanded. In addition, the paper contains only six references, which is totally inadequate for a manuscript of this magnitude. References would be substantially complemented by articles from high quality and highly indexed journals, especially in the WOS and SCOPUS databases. The manuscript has not a very good structure and layout, and, moreover, the final summary of the acquired knowledge in the form of the conclusion chapter lacks completely.	Done
Minor REVISION comments	I can recommend to the authors of this manuscript to align all presented formulas on the center of the page, and all formulas would be numbered by figures in the brackets, which are aligned to the right side of the page. Numbers of the individual figures would be beside title of the corresponding figure. Under each figure would be the source used, for example: "Source: Own research".	Done
Optional/General comments		