



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_34767
Title of the Manuscript:	Principal dimensions of regional agricultural & socio-economic disparities in Haryana
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper has a potential to contribute to the field of the literature but the introduction part of the paper including theoretical background and literature review is very weak. The author(s) should extend this part. And also, author(s) should add the policy suggestions / recommendations related to the findings for the relationship between socio-economic and agricultural dimensions.	Done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		