



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_34765
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of aspect based multidimensional poverty in rural Haryana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

		Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract	Line 13; please state the Alkire-Foster's method applied instead of citing the name of author. The result of your study can't be located in your abstract, as well as any recommendations generated. Please include. Line 11; Insert a comma (,) after 'For estimation of the poverty....' Line 13; You are mentioning "NSSO" for the first time. State the full meaning of the term	Done Done Done Done
	Result	Line 138; insert 'of the' after the 50%	
	Conclusion	The study didn't have recommendation based your findings	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		Please state if there is any limitation of the study at the concluding section.	There is no limitation.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments			