



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_33747
Title of the Manuscript:	Germination, production and morphological characteristics of seeds weeds of species belonging to Poaceae (Graminae) in Setif, Algeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1.Topic- Better to write weed seeds of species rather weeds seeds as written in topic.</p> <p>2. Abstract needs data oriented which should reflect the major findings</p> <p>3. Material Method-Does not contain name of weed species evaluated, statistical method used needs to be mentioned.</p>	<p>1. We share this opinion and we changed the title to: "Variations of weeds seeds of species belonging to Poaceae on the basis of germination, production and morphological characteristics "</p> <p>2. We made some changes to the abstract according to the suggestion of reviewer.</p> <p>3. We have also adding the name of each weed species evaluated according to the suggestion of reviewer.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>1 Result- Germination percentage has shown only in graphical form, better to give tubular form too.</p> <p>2- Conclusion- Line no.4, write morphological description of seed rather seeds morphological. conclusion needs perfection in english grammar.</p>	<p>1. We consider that only one type of graphical is sufficient.</p> <p>2. We changed line number 4 of the conclusion to "seeds morphological" at "morphological description of seed".</p> <p>Grammatical corrections have been made to the conclusion, according to the suggestion of reviewer.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The data presented in the manuscript is quite informative, scientific and good work as a part of weed science in general and seed science as a part.	Thank you for this encouraging remark, aims of study is to identify the seeds of weeds species according to three aspect (germination, production and morphological characteristics).