



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_33557
Title of the Manuscript:	Testing for the Efficiency of Coal Firm Stock Markets in China
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Objectives of the study, hypothesis used etc. need to be mentioned in the study	Thank you, in Abstract, I state that The main purpose of this paper is to test if prices of coal firm stocks in the Chinese A-Share market fluctuate around a long-term trend. In Introduction, I state that This paper mainly aims to test for the mean reversion of prices of coal stocks in the A-share market in China. In Method, I write that The study tests for the hypothesis of a unit root against the alternative: a stationary process without a unit root.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Use of I, We etc. needs to be changed to third person.	Thank you. I use this study or this paper to replace We.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		