



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_33420
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTIONS ABOUT SOCIAL RESPONSIBLE INVESTING AMONG ACADEMIC STAFF: EVIDENCE FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF CAPE COAST, GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Since the study used SEM in testing the model, how the literature review formulate the research model? What is the model? 2. Where the questionnaires borrowed from for the different factors? The authors created their own questions? 3. Why there is a model proposed by the study? 4. Are there any questions in an individual factor not used for SEM analysis? For example, the environmental issue shown in Table 3 has 9 factors, but in Figure 1, only EF5, EF6 and EF7 are shown, are these three factors considered to be valid in validity test? If yes, what are these factors in Table 3? Please also check other factors to give more detailed analysis and descriptions. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The research model was formulated based on literature and the SRI/CSR theory. 2. The questionnaires were based on the environmental, social and governance issues as discussed in literature. We constructed the questionnaire with these bases. 3. The model was based on the hypothesised relationship from the literature. 4. Moreover, after the initial analysis, factors measuring a variable that loaded poorly were removed. Only the constructs that met the SEM criteria were maintained in the model.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		