



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_33195
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Bioformulations for the Management of Blackgram Dry Root Rot Caused by Rhizoctonia bataticola (Taub Butler)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Title: O.K.</b> <b>Abstract: O.K.</b> <b>Introduction: O.K.</b> <b>Materials and Methods: correct!</b> Results and discussion: O.K. References: correct! <b>Tables: O.K.</b> <b>Figures: Increase the size of characters!</b>	OK
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Defense, not defence!</b> Rows 61 – 66 – the description is muddy. References should be formatted uniformly!	OK
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The description of methods needs corrections.. Clarify of the text in rows 61-66 the paper.	OK