



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_32275
Title of the Manuscript:	Long-Term Rainfall Data Analysis for Contingency Crop Planning for Indore Region of Madhya Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments Line 66 to 69	The sentence is one sentence, separated by comma, the gap and paragraph should be avoided Use equation editor in writing all the equations The tables should be prepared using table functions (APA format) but not excel Fig 4 should be properly labelled	Here i have revised the manuscript accordingly the reviewer's suggestion.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The local terms like kharif and rabi should be written in English and place in parenthesis at the first appearance and subsequently it can then be use alone. Referencing and citation should strictly be done according the guidelines to the authors. Consistency in the reference style should be ensured. The author(s) should carefully look at the reference list again.	Here i have revised the manuscript accordingly the reviewer's suggestion.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	There was no recommendation for further research	