



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_32041
Title of the Manuscript:	In silico identification of genes for combined drought and salinity stress in rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The paper has great relevance, when using information available to detect the genes responsible for rice tolerance to drought and salinity</p> <p>There is no hypothesis or objective. This hinders the understanding of the paper, and takes away scientific rigor</p>	As per the suggestions, the objective of the study was stated clearly for easy understanding.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The results obtained allow an extension of the conclusions. And to emphasize them with greater force in the conclusions	The conclusion was modified as suggested
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In the paper a work of data analysis of existing information was carried out, in which information of great importance and practical application was obtained to increase the productivity of rice cultivation. It would be important to emphasize with greater emphasis these results	