



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_31473
Title of the Manuscript:	Simulating the Hydrologic response to climate change: Sani river basin, Gujarat
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Line 12: is it 'hydro-meteorological' or 'hydro-metrological'? Please confirm and rectify if necessary.</p> <p>Line 16 from " The bias Up to line 18 SWAT model does not grammatically come out clearly. The grammar should be checked to make for a better understanding to all professionals and non-professionals alike.</p> <p>Line 58 : respond "TO" the impacts</p> <p>Line 168: 'During the ALL periods'?</p> <p>Line 170 : Which resulted IN not INTO</p> <p>Line 179: are shown not is shown</p> <p>Line 199 : will enhance not enhances</p> <p>Line 201: experience not experiences</p> <p>Line 206a : Must be revised for clarity</p>	All chapters are corrected as per suggestions
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		