

**Editor's comments:**

- 1] Generalization is difficult from this empirical output.
- 2] The results and this study do not contribute the literature in this fields.
- 3] The method of statistical analysis is poor and simple.
- 4] The discussions are very surface and not in depth.

**Author's feedback:**

**Greetings. I am writing in response to your comments on the article no 2016 AIR 30754.**

**With respect to the first comment, it is now stated in the LIMITATION OF STUDY that generalization of findings of the study may be difficult because of small sample size**

**With respect to second comment I WANT TO SAY CATEGORICALLY THAT THE RESULT OF THIS STUDY CONTRIBUTE TO LITERATURE AND PREVIOUS STUDIES IN THE FIELD PLEASE CHECK THE REFERENCES WE CITED AND THE ONES WE USED TO SUPPORT OUR FINDINGS**

**THIRD COMMENT THE STATISTICAL ANALYSIS USED IN THIS STUDY WAS NOT SIMPLE AT ALL MULTIPLE REGRESSION ANALYSIS WAS CARRIED OUT TO DETERMINE WHICH FACTORS GREATLY INFLUENCED RESPONDENTS' KNOWLEDGE OF PIH. I THINK THAT IS ONE OF THE BEST METHODS WE COULD HAVE USED**

**FOURTH COMMENT As the corresponding author, I have tried to adhere to corrections made by the reviewers on the issue of discussion and the reviewer commended my effort**