



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_30754
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Socio-Demographic Variables on Knowledge of Pregnancy Induced Hypertension among Pregnant Women in Ekiti State Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p><u>Abstract.</u> It is well structured. The different sections are articulated coherently.</p> <p><u>Introduction.</u> The introduction describes aspects related to the clinical and epidemiological importance of PIH, as well as the importance of the information that pregnant women should have in order to be able to take preventive measures.</p> <p><u>Materials and Methods</u> In this section are described appropriately; the type of design used, the study groups, the selected geographical areas and procedures for the collection from information.</p> <p><u>Results.</u> The results obtained in this study are presented in a concise and clear way. The statistical analysis is rigorous. The formation of gradients related to the level of knowledge of PIH, allows a good interpretation of the findings.</p> <p><u>Discussion</u> The discussion is consistent with the findings of the research. The results obtained are compared with those reported in the scientific literature</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u> <u>The authors' conclusion corresponds to the findings of the study.</u></p> <p><u>Ethical issue:</u></p>	<p>Necessary corrections on ethical clearance of this work has been made. The authors have now stated it clearly in the revised copy of the article that ethical clearance for this study was obtained from hospital ethics committee.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<u>Are mentioned briefly and insufficiently in material and methods. It is not mentioned that it has been evaluated by an ethics committee.</u>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		