



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_30754
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Socio-Demographic Variables on Knowledge of Pregnancy Induced Hypertension among Pregnant Women in Ekiti State Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>It would be better to assess knowledge of pregnancy induced hypertension and to determine the influence of socio-demographic factors in the general population among pregnant women than in health facilities.</p> <p>Somme key elements such as (i)the study level of these respondents, (ii) their marital status, (iii) the respective number of prenatal visits for each of them are not assessed.</p> <p>In addition, the questionnaire should be open and not based on univocal answers " Yes" or " No". The authors should use customised interviews and focus group in the communities of pregnant women instead of their 18 items questionnaire.</p>	<p>Health facilities was selected as the target area for selecting samples for this study because that is the place where appreciable number of people of this category can be found and also to be able to make random selection of samples. Going outside the health facilities will mean having to go from streets to streets, market places and so on in search of pregnant women</p> <p>2) the key elements identified by the reviewer are not the focus of this study we have recommended these elements in future studies</p> <p>3) The authors used questionnaire based on yes or no response format because of the nature of respondents.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>In the introduction, authors should talk about the ANC attendance, the eventual barriers or bottle necks and the frequency of the visits per pregnant woman in this district.</p> <p>About the parity, can authors put aside primiparous of the other and compare the different groups.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The discussion appears brief and incomplete, it will be necessary to look for studies conducted in other African countries	