Original Research Article

Risk of residual aluminum in treated waters with aluminum sulphate

8 Abstract

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9 Waters treatment by aluminum sulphate is the most used process in waters treatment to remove 10 unwanted microorganisms. The inorganic coagulants are partially hydrolyzed salts; their 11 dissolution in water depends mainly on this one's pH. However, aluminum residues may remain 12 after dissolving. In this study, determination of residual aluminum in treated waters is performed 13 by the standard addition method. Treated waters from the treatment station in Skikda's city 14 contained 210 g / L of residual aluminum for an average dose equal to 40m /L of aluminum 15 sulphate. The residual aluminum in treated water exceeds WHO standards (200µg / L), and far 16 from the recommended standards of 100 g / L in all searches. Trials were made with laboratory 17 flocculators under the same conditions. The residual aluminium obtained by the same method, is 18 182 mg / L, giving 13.33% less than the WHO standards. Another trial was carried out with a dose 19 of 40 mg / L alum. As adjuvant, a bentonite from M'Zila (Algeria) was used with a dose of 3mg / 20 L. This test helped to reduce the amount of residual aluminum in raw water (20.48%, lower than 21 the recommended standards). 22 In order to investigate the causes of these excess, trials were made with the laboratory flocculators 23 under the same conditions in that station. The residual aluminum obtained by the same standard 24 addition method, is 182 mg / L (giving 13.33% less than the WHO standards). 25 The dry residues were characterized by analysis using a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and

EDX (MEB-EDX) to show the different spectra of the aluminum in the dry residue. The crude

27 bentonite is characterized by the elemental chemical method using X-ray fluorescence.

28 Keywords. Aluminum sulphate, bentonite, residual aluminum, MEB-EDX, fluorescence X.

29 INTRODUCTION

The residual aluminum present in water that has been treated clarification with aluminum sulphate may be the result of an overdose or no conditions favourable to its hydrolysis (pH and temperature). The Flocculants bind to particles in suspension and cause them to precipitate as sludge at the bottom of flocculators and decanters. If the conditions are not met, some of the aluminum remains insolution (BOTTERO and al., 1980).

Epidemiological studies have shown the dangers of aluminum and some countries 36 37 have already taken their precautions. Canada, for example, tolerates less than 100µg / L in the treated water (HILL., 1989). In France, epidemiology research 38 39 team of Professor Jean François Dartigues, University of Bordeaux has published 40 several articles in the American Journal of epidemiology (RONDEAU and al., 41 2000; RONDEAU and COMMENGES, 2001; RONDEAU and al, 2006.) treating the relation between aluminium and Alzheimer's disease. A lot of works advocate 42 43 a tolerable threshold limited to 100µg / L in drinking water, while remaining vigilant about monitoring the waters treatment plants. The inorganic monomeric 44 45 aluminum represents their main fraction of aluminum after water treatment (62% 46 of total).

A study performed in Europe estimated that the intake of aluminum from drinking
water is less than 5% of daily intake from other sources like food, utensils,
medicines etc., (SOLLARS and al., 1989).

An estimated total daily average intake of 8.26 mg or the share contributed by thewater is less than 0.4 mg of aluminum.

Higher concentrations are found in drinking water in relation to the quality of raw 52 water over-loaded if the pH is not controlled properly during the steps of 53 treatment and optimizing the dose in steps of coagulation, decantation and 54 filtration (MEGHZILI and al, 2012; American Water Works Association Research 55 Foundation, 1993). In distribution networks, the process of disinfection can be 56 limited if we find high levels of residual aluminum that retains and protects 57 microorganisms (American Water Works Association Research Foundation, 1993. 58 COSTELLO., 1984). The best results are obtained with a pH between 6 and 7, 59 minimum solubility range of aluminum hydroxide during coagulation 60 (LETTERMAN and DRISCOLL., 1988). 61

The chemistry of aluminum in water can be described by several forms (BAES
and MESMER, 1976; and MOTEKAITIS MARTELL, 1988; JEAN PIERRE
MARC HENRY JOLIVET and 1998):

- 65 \rightarrow five monomers: Al^{3+} , $Al(OH)^{2+}$, $Al(OH)_2^+ Al(OH)_3^0$, $Al(OH)_4^-$
- 66 \rightarrow three polymers: $[Al_2 (OH)_2]^{4+}$, $[Al_3 (OH)_4]^{5+}$, $[Al_{13}O_4 (OH)_{24}$ 67 $(H_2O)_{12}^{7+}$
- 68 \rightarrow One precipitate: Al (OH) ₃

69 Aluminum mononuclear hydrolytic products are combined to form polynuclear

species in solution (Rondeau et al., 2000). Aluminum begins to polymerize when

the pH of an acidic solution substantially increases beyond 4.5: (BERTSCH and

72 PARKER., 1996)

73 $2Al (OH) (H_20)_5^{2+} \implies Al_2 (OH)_2 (H_20)_8^{4+} + 2H_20$

74 Polymerization produces gradually larger structures and eventually lead to the

formation of the Al₁₃ polycation (PARKER and BERTSCH., 1992a, 1992b).

According to Jones and BENOIT (1986), the aluminium present in the treated water is largely located in dissolved forms. The dissolved aluminum is defined as aluminum that passes through a 0.22µm filter (MEGHZILI and al., 2012).

In highly charged water, it is important to control the total aluminum content by optimizing coagulation and filtration processes. We must control the dosage of the coagulant and coagulation pH, because it must be accompanied by good stirring allowing good flocculation of suspended solids and will facilitate their decantation before the step of filtration.

If any one of these steps is neglected, it may cause an increase of residual aluminum. The pH is one of the main factors that determine the form of aluminum present in water; solubility of aluminum increases in lower pH (MARTELL and MOTEKAITIS., 1989).

88

89

1. MATERIALS AND METHODS 1.1. Operating procedure

90 Analytical results of the raw water and the treated water in station are91 shown in Table I.

- 92
- 93

94

Parameters	Units	Raw water	Treated water station
Aspect	-	Disorder	Limpid
pH	-	8.10	7.78
Conductivity	μs/ cm	418	425
Salinity rate	mg/L	360	340
Suspended materials	mg/L	42	Traces
Chlorides	mg/L	78.55	72.73
Carbonates	mg/L	Traces	Traces
Bicarbonates	mg/L	132.41	127.32
Calcium	mg/L	50.79	50.79
Magnesium	mg/L	14.40	14.40
Total hardness	°F	18.6	18.6
Sulphates	mg/L	46.23	44.20
Phosphates	mg/L	Trace	Traces
Potassium	mg/L	4.9	4.9
Sodium	mg/L	9.3	8.3
Dissolved organic	mg/L	38.02	1.68
carbon			
Ammonium	mg/L	0.42	Traces
Nitrites	mg/L	Trace	Traces
Nitrates	mg/L	Traces	Traces
Total iron	mg/L	1.91	1.88
Cuivre	mg/L	0.37	0.36
Mercury	μg/L	3.80	0.76
Aluminum	μg/L	-	210.00
Turbidity	NTU	8.87	2.96
Organic matter content	Mg O ₂ /L	8.70	5.55

96 Table I. Physic-chemical analysis of water supply (Central Laboratory of97 the company's petrochemical industries, ENIP).

1.2. Determination of the content of aluminum in the treated water 98 1.2.1. Principle 99 100 The aluminum with cyanine Erichrome R forms a red complex at pH 6. After 5 minutes, the analysis is performed at the wavelength of 535 nanometers. The 101 102 method used is the standard addition method. 103 Equipment 104 UV/visible type Shimadzu UV-1605. 105 reagents 106 - Solution Erichrome cyanine R - Acetic acid buffer solution pH 6 107 - Ascorbic Acid 108 - Solution 0.1 Mole EDTA (Éthylène Diamine Tétra-Acétique) 109 110 - Sulphuric acid 0.05 Mole - Al Solution 0.1 g / L 111 1.2.2. Procedure 112 Water sample is adjusted to pH of 3-3.5.then, 10 ml of sample are put in 100mL 113 flasks. In the first flask was added all reagents except the aluminum standard 114 115 solution (to adjust the zero of spectrophotometer). In other vials, we put all reagents except EDTA and we add an increasing dose of standard aluminum. The 116 117 absorbance of the solution of each flask was then measured and traced in curve A = f (C), the absorbance versus concentration aluminum and from this curve, the 118 amount of aluminum was determined in the sample. 119 1.2.3. Description of tests jar-test 120 Jar test is carried out according to the procedures outlined in paragraph 1.2. 121 The tests were conducted in a laboratory flocculator comprising six agitators and 122 six 500mL beakers. The water to be treated is placed in each beaker. The rapid 123 stirring is carried out at 200 rev / min; at time zero, we add increasing doses of 124 125 aluminum sulphate in each of the beakers. The phase rapid stirring lasts three minutes. The rapid stirring is followed by 17 minutes of slow stirring at 45 rev / 126 127 min. After decanting for 30 minutes, we take a quantity of supernatant to analyze 128 residual aluminum. The optimum dose of aluminum sulphate is 40 mg / L

129 (determined by jar-test). The results of quality parameters analysis are presented

in Table II.

Parameters	Raw water	(jar-test)	Treated water	Aliminum
		Aliminum	station	sulphate
		sulphate	(sulphate Al)	+Bentonite
Doses (mg/L)	-	40	40	40 +3
Temperature (°C)	28	29,5	28	29
Conductivity (µs/cm)	545	538	559	576
pH	8,10	7,32	7,61	7,79
Salinity	0,3	00	00	-
Turbidity(NTU)	4,47	1,04	2,10	3,37
Organic matter(mgO ₂ /L)	11,2	4,12	4,34	1,97
TH °F	21,00	20,40	26,20	-
TAC °F	11,50	9,70	8,10	-
% elimination turbidity	0	76,73	53,00	24,25
% élimination organic	0	63,21	61,25	42,41
matter				
Residual Aluminum	No detected	0,182	0,210	0,167
(µg/L)				

131 Table II. Results of analyzes of quality parameters

In Table II, we have considered only the monitoring of the residual aluminum in the jar-tests and the water treated in station, then to the same dose was added a dose of 3 mg / L of bentonite as an adjuvant. At the end, the results will be compared between them.

- 136 **1.3. Analysis of solid materials**
- 137
- 1.3.1. Characterization bentonite

138 Bentonite is characterized by the National Entreprise of Non-Ferrous Mining and

139 Useful Products (ENOF) in 2007.

140

141

				Exc	changeab	le cations	
Specific	pН	Specific mass	Exchange		(meq/1	00g)	
surface		g/cm ³	capacity				_
m²/g			(Meq/100g)				
				Ca ²⁺	Na ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	Na/ca
65,00	9,00	2,71	75,8	43,60	25,20	4,80	0,58
	Specific surface m²/g 65,00	SpecificpHsurfacem²/g65,009,00	SpecificpHSpecific masssurfaceg/cm³m²/g5,0065,009,002,71	Specific surfacepHSpecific massExchangesurfaceg/cm³capacitym²/g(Meq/100g)65,009,002,7175,8	Specific pH Specific mass Exchange surface g/cm ³ capacity m ² /g (Meq/100g) Ca ²⁺ 65,00 9,00 2,71 75,8 43,60	Specific pH Specific mass Exchange (meq/1 surface g/cm ³ capacity m ² /g (Meq/100g) 65,00 9,00 2,71 75,8 43,60 25,20	Specific pH Specific mass Exchange (meq/100g) surface g/cm ³ capacity m ² /g (Meq/100g) Ca ²⁺ Na ²⁺ Mg ²⁺ 65,00 9,00 2,71 75,8 43,60 25,20 4,80

143 Table.III. Physico-chemical characteristics of the bentonite

144

145 Table IV. Mineralogical characteristics of bentonite

Montmorillonite	Quartz	Carbonates	Feldspars	Biotites
45 à 60%	15 à 20%	8 à 10%	3 à 5%	8 à 10%

146 1.3.2. Electronic scanning microscopy (EMF)

This operation allows to visualize the morphology (shape, size) of particles and 147 their possible surface roughness (image 1, 2 and 3) directly. It consists to scan, 148 149 line by line, the surface of the particles by an incident beam of high energy electrons, thereby causing the emission of secondary electrons of low energy. 150 These are sent to a detector which transmits the signal to a screen of where the 151 scanning is synchronized with the scanning of the sample. The contrast of the 152 image reflects the relief of the sample. These secondary electrons allow 153 reconstruction of a magnified picture of the surface. 154

In laboratory, images of the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) were performed using a Philips XL 30 microscopy equipped with a field emission gun. The sample is prepared by depositing the powder on the aluminum support coated with a thin layer of graphite whose surface is adhesive. It is then vacuum metalized, by sputtering a layer of gold having a thickness between 10 and 20 nm.



160

161 Figure 1. Image the morphology raw bentonite particles



163 Figure 2. Sample image with a dose of aluminum sulfate 40 mg / L



164

Figure 3. Sample image with a dose of aluminum sulfate 40 mg / L + 3 mg of
bentonite

167 1.3.3. MEB-EDX dry residues

Figure 4, 5, 6 shows the various spectra of the minerals present in different samples. The peaks of aluminum vary from one sample to another. The higher amounts of aluminum are located on the sample 3 and then the second and the lowest peak on the sample 1. Figure 3 shows a larger concentration of aluminum on the image 3



173

174 Figure 4. Raw bentonite



176 Figure 5. Dose of aluminum sulphate 40 mg / L



178 Figure 6. Dose of aluminum sulphate 40 mg + 3 mg bentonite

179 1.3.4. Fluorescence (XRF)

Fluorescence spectrometry is an elemental analysis method using the physical property of the material to determine pure elements concentrations. The X-ray spectrum emitted by the material is characteristic of the sample composition. The spectrum analysis allows deducing the elemental composition of the mass concentrations. The powder to be analyzed is put into a cup, and then pressed onto a pellet of boric acid. The device used is a fluorescence spectrophotometer X MagiX Panalytical.

- 187
- 188
- 189
- 190
- 191
- 192
- 193
- 194

Analyte	Compound of formula	Concentration %	Méthod of calculating
Na	Na ₂ O	2.796	Calculated
Mg	MgO	2.913	Calculated
Al	Al_2O_3	14.031	Calculated
Si	SiO ₂	69.665	Calculated
Р	P2O ₅	0.082	Calculated
S	SO ₃	0.491	Calculated
Κ	K2O	2.407	Calculated
Ca	CaO	4.098	Calculated
Ti	TiO ₂	0.350	Calculated
Mn	MnO ₂	0.168	Calculated
Fe	Fe ₂ O ₃	2.879	Calculated
Rb	Rb ₂ O	0.009	Calculated
Sr	SrO	0.041	Calculated
Cl	Cl	0.069	Calculated

195 **Table V. Quantification of raw bentonite sample**

196

197 Table VI. Percentage of mass concentrations

Element	Concentration %	Element	Concentration %
0	48.60	Κ	2.00
Na	2.07	Ca	2.93
Mg	1.76	Ti	0.210
Al	7.43	Mn	0.106
Si	32.6	Fe	2.01
Р	0.0359	Rb	0.00844
S	0.197	Sr	0.0347
Cl	0.0690		

198 2. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

199 We considered only the monitoring of residual aluminium.

	Réactifs		Dose (mg/L)	Al residual	% Al residual/total Al
Water treatment station	Alumina sulphate		40	0,210	5,77%
Jar-test	Alumina sulphate		40	0,182	5,00%
Jar-test	Alumina sulphate		40	0,167	4,58%
	Bentonite	+	3		

201 Table VII. Monitoring the residual aluminum in the different samples

The 40 mg dose of aluminum sulphate / L gives 3.64 mg / L of aluminum. Station treated water contains 5.77% of the total aluminum and thus constitutes the residual aluminum. The pH of raw water arriving at the station has a value of 8.10, less favorable for the polymerization leading to the formation of the Al13 polycation. After treatment the pH does not exceed 7.61 and the minimum solubility range of aluminum hydroxide during coagulation is at a pH between 6-7 for best yields (LETTERMAN and DRISCOLL., 1988).

Aluminum sulfate, in addition to its action on the reduction of suspended solids, it intervenes on lowering the pH. A pH of 7.61 obtained after treatment with aluminum sulfate confirmed that there was no optimization of the various steps of

212 clarification (dose, pH, residence time, stirring etc.).

For the jar-test performed with SA of 40 mg / L, 5% of the total aluminum remains in solution, limit advised and recommended in all works on the residual aluminum in drinking water treatment.

216 The jar-test performed with 40 mg / L SA and 3mg / L of bentonite, residual

aluminum is 4.58% of the total aluminum, well below the 5% recommended.

According to Sollars and al (1989), in a study of aluminum in drinking water in Europe have also shown that the aluminum contribution of drinking water is less than 5%.

The optimum dose determined by jar-test has eliminated 95% of the totalaluminum after filtration.

Incidentally, during the process of agglomeration or coagulation, much of aluminum contained in the aluminum salts added is hydrolyzed to produce the aluminum hydroxide which precipitates and becomes part of the floc. This aluminum is therefore part of the sludge generated by the treatment process. It is

possible that a small amount of added aluminum remains in the treated water, whether in colloidal particle form (Al (OH) 3) or in soluble form (Al (OH) $_2$ +, Al (OH) $_4$ ⁻), according to the conditions of processing. A fault in the spillway of the sludge, incorrect scraping, unsettled flow of the sludge pumps, can cause release of the aluminum contained in the sludge and thus constitute a further addition to the existed aluminum residual.

233 By studying the scanning electron microscopy images and spectra in the jar-tests 234 1, 2, we find that the aluminum peaks are important in the second test. For a dose of 40mg / L of SA, 5% of the total aluminum is residual; the remainder is with the 235 236 settled particles. In station, and under the same conditions, the residual aluminum is 5.77% of the total aluminum. In the resort, this is explained by the non 237 238 homogeneity of treatment (agitation, dosage, residence time) because it must meet 239 the urgent need of drinking water because its capabilities are no longer sufficient to satisfy a growing of population. 240

241 In addition a portion of the aluminum present in sludge may be salted out when the sludge removal is not done automatically or when the sludge discharge pumps 242 are stopped. Bentonite, as adjuvant reduced the residual aluminum to a lower level 243 244 due to its cationic exchange capacity (75.8 meq / 100 g of bentonite) and the possibilities of retention in their foliar spaces. The bentonite used contains 245 14.031% of Al_2O_3 compound, giving 7.43% of aluminum, which may in its turn 246 be hydrolyzed to form other polymers, polycations Al_{13} , which promote good 247 decantation and reduce the residual aluminum. 248

249 CONCLUSION

The aluminum sulfate is used as a coagulant in the treatment of surface water; generally its pH is close or greater than 8, what does not facilitate turbidity removal, because their removal also results in reduction of pathogenic microorganisms and also reduces the formation of by-products (organic matter) before disinfection, to prevent the formation of compounds organochlorine responsible for some cancers. Disinfection can be hindered by high levels of residual aluminum. It is imperative, in the surface water treatment process, to 257 work in optimum conditions can lead to a minimum aluminum concentration in 258 drinking water. In loaded water, an adjuvant of the coagulation-flocculation can help to reduce the residual of aluminum, as is the case with natural bentonite. The 259 260 Favorable conditions for hydrolysis of aluminum (pH, t °, agitation and water time stay) allow the formation of the Al₁₃ polycation responsible for a good 261 262 flocculation and reduced residual aluminum including its harmfulness described in several studies. The tests have shown that if one respects the conditions of 263 hydrolysis of aluminum (Table II), we acheive an acceptable level of residual 264 aluminum. 265

266 **BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES**

267 AMERICAN WATER WORKS ASSOCIATION RESEARCH FOUNDATION

268 (1993). Aluminum in drinking water and Alzheimer's disease: a resource guide.

AWWA Research Foundation and the American Water Works Association. 115pp.

BAES C. F.et MESMER R. E. (1976). The hydrolysis of cations, *Wiley*, New York,
496 p.

BERTSCH, P.M. ET D.R. PARKER (1996). Aqueous polynuclear
aluminum species. In : G. Esposito (éd.), The environmental chemistry of
aluminum, 2e éd., CRC Press, Boca Raton (Fla.), p. 117-168.

BOTTERO J.Y., CASES J.M., FIESSINGER F. AND POIRIER J.E.
(1980). Studies of hydrolyse aluminium chloride solutions. 1. Nature of
aluminium species and composition of aqueous solutions. Journal of
physical chemistry 84, 2933-2939.

280 COSTELLO, J.J (1984). Postprecipitation in distribution systems. J. Am. Water

281 Works Assoc., 76: 46-49

JEAN PIERRE JOLIVET and MARC HENRY (1994). De la solution à l'oxyde.

283 Condensation des cations en solution aqueuse. Savoirs Actuels.284 interEdition/CNRSEdition.

Hill, R.J. and Hill, M (1989). An exposure assessment of the health hazardsassociated with the intake of aluminium. Part II of a report on the effects of

- aluminium on human health. Préparé pour le Ministère de la Santé et du Bien-être,
 Ottawa (1989).
- 289 JONES, K.C. and BENOTT BG (1986). Exposure of man to environmental
- aluminum- exposure commitment sassements. Total environ., 52., 65-82.
- 291 LETTERMAN, R.D. and DRISCOLL, C.T (1988). Survey of residual aluminium
- in filtered water. J. Am. Water Works Assoc., 80: 154-158.
- 293 MARTELL, A.E. et MOTEKAITIS, R.J(1989). Coordination chemistry and
- speciation of Al (III) in aqueous solution. Dans: Environmental chemistry and
- toxicology of aluminum. T.E. Lewis (dir. de publ.). Lewis Publishers, Chelsea,MI. pp. 3-17.
- 297 MEGHZILI B, BOUSSAA M, MEDJRAM MS (2012). Aluminium résiduel et
- 298 optimisation des étapes de la clarification dans les stations de traitement des eaux.
- 299 ScienceLib Editions Mersenne : Volume 4, N° 120118.
- 300 PARKER, D.R. and P.M. BERTSCH (1992a). Identification and
- 301 quantification of the «Al13» tridecamericpolycation using ferron, Environ.
- 302 Sci. Technol., 26: 908-914.
- 303 PARKER, D.R. and P.M. BERTSCH (1992b). Formation of the «Al13»
- 304 tridecameric polycation under diverse synthesis conditions, Environ. Sci.
- 305 Technol., 26: 914-921.
- 306 RONDEAU V, COMMENGES D, JACQMIN-GADDA H and DARTIGUES JF
- 307 (2000). Relationship between aluminum and silica concentrations in drinking
 308 water and Alzheimer's disease: an 8-year follow-up study. Am J Epidemiol, 152,
 309 59-66.
- RONDEAU V, COMMENGES D (2001) The Epidemiology of Aluminium and
 Alzheimer's Disease, In Aluminum and Alzheimer's Disease, the Science that
- 312 Describes the Link, C. Exley, (Editor), Elsevier Science B. V.
- 313 RONDEAU V, IRON A, LETENNEURL, COMMENGES D, DUCHENE F,
- 314 ARVEILER B and DARTIGESJ-F (2006). Analysis of the effect of aluminum in
- drinking water and transferring C2 allele on Alzheimer's disease. Eur J Neurology
- 316 13, 1022-1025.

SOLLARS, C.J., BRAGG, S., SIMPSON, A.M. et PERRY, R (1989). Aluminum
in European drinking water. Environ. Technol. Lett., 10: 131-150.