# Original Research Articles PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF A DIESEL ENGINE RUN ON BIODIESEL PRODUCED FROM COCONUT OIL AND ITS BLENDS

5 6 7

8

9

# ABSTRACT

This paper presents the evaluation of performance parameters viz a viz Brake specific fuel consumptions(BSFC), brake powers and mechanical efficiencies of a diesel engine run on biodiesel, its blends and diesel. The results of the evaluation showed that all the parameters exhibit similar variations. Mechanical efficiencies of the diesel engine run on the blends of biodiesel with diesel containing 30%, 40% and 50% biodiesel denoted by B30, B40 and B50 respectively were found to be higher than when it was run on diesel and a blend of biodiesel with diesel containing 20% biodiesel denoted by B20. Mechanical efficiencies of the diesel engine run on the blend of biodiesel with diesel containing 10% biodiesel and solely biodiesel denoted by B10 and B100 respectively were found to be lesser than when it was run on diesel denoted by B0, with increase in brake powers. The mechanical efficiencies of the diesel engine run on B10,B30,B40,B50 and B100 were found to be lesser with increase in engine loads in comparison to when it was run on diesel. BSFC of the diesel engine that was run on biodiesel and its blend were found to be higher than when it was run on diesel. They increased with increase in percentage of biodiesel added to diesel as the engine load increases. However they were found to increase with increase in brake power in the following order B0,B10,B20, B30, B40. B50 and B100.

#### 10

Keywords: Biodiesel, BSFC, diesel, diesel engine, engine load, mechanical efficiency.

11 12 13

14

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Diesel fuels have an essential function in the industrial economy of developing and developed 15 countries(Awolu and Layokun, 2013) and it is used in most types of transportation. Diesel engines are 16 the power behind our machines- trucks, train, ships and submarine. They generate more power more 17 efficiently when compared with petrol engines. Diesel engines are the most efficient prime movers, 18 from the point of view of protecting global environment and concerns for long term energy security, it 19 20 becomes necessary to develop alternative fuels with properties comparable to petroleum based fuels(Rao et al,2009). Beg et al(2010) opined that the source of crude oil in which diesel is a product, 21 22 would be ruined in future as the demand of petroleum products is growing at faster rate day by day. In 23 the quest for finding alternatives, biodiesel has gained a lot of attention. As it is known the use of 24 biodiesel (B100), instead of diesel oil (B0), shows interesting environmental benefits, even though for 25 economic reasons, today a complete replacement of fossil fuel is not possible(Friso, 2014). Shetye(2013) stated that biodiesel is an alternate fuel that can be produced from renewable 26

27 feedstock such as edible and non edible vegetable oils, wasted frying oils and animal fats. It is 28 oxygenated sulphur free, non toxic biodegradable and renewable fuel. Savarirag et al(2013)a, stated 29 that many technical papers revealed that the application of biodiesel in diesel engine is suitable for 30 better performance, combustion and emission properties. A lot of work has been done partly or 31 wholly on emissions and performance of diesel engine running on biodiesel from edible and non 32 edible plants and its blends. This is in line with Teran and Yaman(2015) who opined that some notable researchers have produced biodiesel from different types of vegetable oils and animal fats. 33 Remarkable sources of the aforementioned biodiesel which have been used to run diesel engine, 34 35 whose emissions and performance parameters have been studied are fish oil(Savarirag et al, 2013)b, sunflower and olive oil(Kalligerous et al, 2003), palm oil(Wirawan et al, 2008), cotton seed oil(Nabi et 36 37 al, 2009 and Gowthaman and Velmurugan, 2012), castor oil (Islam et al, 2014), almond (Nadal and Khaled,2014),rapseed (Oberweis and Al-Shemmeri,2010), pongamia(Gopal and Karupparaj,2015), 38 jathropha oil(Rao et al.2009), waste oil, rapeseed oil and corn oil(Tesfa et al.2014). Mahua oil(Lenin 39 et al.2013 and Brahma and Babu.2013), ricebran(Umesh et al.2014, mustard oil(Sharma et al.2013) 40 safflower oil and milk scum oil(Kotil et al, 2014). The results of their studies of the emission from 41 42 biodiesel and its blends in comparison with diesel when they were used to run diesel engine revealed 43 that emissions from biodiesel are lower than that from diesel, except NO<sub>x</sub> and the emissions decrease with increase in the concentration of the blend of the biodiesel. The results of the 44 45 performance evaluation varied from one researcher's work to another because of different sources of 46 biodiesel, performance parameters and the variation between them they individually considered. 47 Biodiesel has been produced from coconut oil, characterized and the emissions were studied by 48 Teran and Yaman(2015). Transesterification process with Sodium hydroxide(caustic soda) as catalyst was used to produce the biodiesel with a yield of 49.9%. Dias et al(2013) opined that biodiesel can be 49 produced over calcium oxide catalyst from low value raw material without significant deterioration of 50 the catalytic performance. However a higher yield would have been obtained if heterogeneous 51 catalyst, calcium oxide was used, in line with the work of Puna et al, (2013) where biodiesel yields of 52 97-98% were obtained from soybean and rapeseed. The results of the emission test obtained from 53 54 the biodiesel and its blend by Teran and Yaman(2015) revealed the potentials of being used to run 55 diesel engine. So the aim of this research is to use the biodiesel, its blends, and diesel to run a diesel 56 engine and evaluate its performance in terms of operational parameters.

#### 58 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The fuel materials used for this study include diesel fuel, biodiesel produced from coconut oil and characterised by Teran and Yaman(2015) and the blends of the biodiesel. The test rig shown in plate I was used for this study. It includes GD411 diesel Honda 9.0 an air cooled, 4-cycles, single cylinder and 9 horse power engine manufactured by Honda Motor Company, Japan,coupled to dynamometer, equipped with sensors, data acquisition and digital display systems.



66 67 68

57

59

- 69 Plate I Test Rig.
- The specifications of the engine in the test rig are shown in Table1.
- 72

#### Table 1. Engine specifications

73

Parameters	Specifications
Engine model	GD411
Engine type	Air cooled, 4 stroke,
	direct injection diesel
	engine.
Number of cylinders	1
Bore(mm)x Stroke(mm)	82x78
Displacement(cm <sup>3</sup> )	411
Compression ratio	18.2:1
Maximum power output	9HP(6.6Kw) at 3600rpm
Fuel Tank capacity	4.6 I
Engine dimensions	440mmx405mmx490mm

74

#### 75 2.1 Experimental procedure

Blends of biodiesel and diesel fuel were produced in the ratio of 10:90, 20:80, 30:70, 40:60 and 50:50 by
volume, denoted by B10, B20, B30, B40 and B50 respectively.


79 The engine was made to run for 30 minutes in line with the work of Savariraj et al (2013)b with 100% diesel fuel denoted by B0 as base fuel or reference fuel at constant speed of 1000rpm which was 80 81 measured by digital Tachometer. The engine load was applied by employing dynamometer that was coupled to it. The fuel consumption rate was measured using a glass burette and stopwatch. After 82 83 completing the experiment with 100% diesel, the engine was allowed to run with 100% biodiesel 84 denoted by B100 and biodiesel blends, that is B10, B20, B30, B40, B50 respectively. Each test was 85 repeated five times and the arithmetic mean of the readings or observations was used for calculation and 86 analysis. The performance parameters determined, include engine load, brake specific fuel consumption 87 (BSFC), brake power and mechanical efficiency.

88 89

# 90 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

91

92 The variation of mechanical efficiency with brake power is shown in figure 1.



93 94

94 95


97

96 Figure.1 Variation of mechanical efficiency with brake power

98 It can be seen from figure 1 that mechanical efficiency increased with increase in brake power. The 99 mechanical efficiency of the diesel engine when it was run on the blends B50 is higher than when the 100 diesel engine was run on diesel(B0) and the same when the diesel engine was run on B20. It is evident 101 in figure 1, that the mechanical efficiency of diesel engine when it is run on diesel is higher than when it 102 is run on other blends and this is in line with the findings of Srithar et al,(2014) in their work.

103 104

105 The variation of brake specific fuel consumption with brake power is shown in figure 2




106



108

109 It can be seen from figure 2 that the diesel engine has higher BSFC when it was run on B100 or on others and has the least BSFC when it was run on B0. However BSFC increased with brake power 110 except when the diesel engine was run on B50 where the BSFC initially decreased from 441g/kWhr at 111 10kW brake power to 422g/kWhr at 13kW brake power and finally increased with brake power. The 112 reason for initial decrease in BSFC could be that percentage increase in fuel to run the engine was less 113 than the percentage increase in brake power output(Savariraj et al, 2013)b. The fuel flow problems such 114 as higher density and higher viscosity of biodiesel and decreasing combustion efficiency have certain 115 116 effect, such as reduction in brake power (Utlu and Knocak, 2008) cited by Shirneshan et al. (2014)

117 The variation of brake specific fuel consumption with engine load is depicted in figure 3




118

Figure 3 Variation of brake specific fuel consumption with engine load

120

It can be seen in figure 3, that BSFC of the diesel engine when run on B0, B10,B20, B30,B40 and B100 121 122 increased with increase in engine load, while when run on B50, decreased with increase in engine load to about 25Kw and increased with increase in engine load. The decrease in BSFC with increase in 123 engine load as experienced by the diesel engine when it was run on B50, might be due to the fact that 124 percentage increase in fuel required to operate the engine was less than the percentage increase in 125 brake power as relatively less portion of the heat losses occurred at higher engine loads( Raheman, et 126 al,2013). BSFC of the diesel engine run on the coconut oil biodiesel and its blends are higher than those 127 128 of diesel engine run on diesel at all loads. This is as a result of lower calorific value [Singh and

- Singla(2015) and Savariraj et al (2013)b] of coconut oil biodiesel and its blends compare to diesel. BSFC
   increases with biodiesel blend ratio (Prajapati et al, 2014) and this is evident in Figure 3
- 131
- 132 The variation of mechanical efficiency with engine load is shown in figure 4.



#### 133

134 Figure 4 Variation of mechanical efficiency with engine load.

135

136 It can be seen from figure 4 that mechanical efficiency increased with increase in engine load for the 137 diesel engine when it was run on diesel(B0), biodiesel(B100) and all the blends of the biodiesel. This 138 could be attributed to minimal power that was lost as the load increased. It is evident in figure 4 that the 139 desel engine has the highest mechanical efficiency when it was run on B20 compared to when it was run 140 on diesel and others, although they are closed to each other. This is in line with the findings in Kolhe et 141 al,(2014) work.

142 143

# 144 **4. CONCLUSION**

145

146 Performance analysis of a diesel engine run on coconut oil biodiesel, its blends and diesel has been 147 carried out. From the results obtained, the following conclusions can be made. The mechanical efficiency 148 of the diesel engine run on coconut oil biodiesel, its blends increased with increase in brakepower. The BSFC of the diesel run on B100 is higher than when it is run on diesel irrespective of the load. Mechanical 149 150 efficiency increases with increase in engine load, regardless of the type of fuel it is run on. Biodiesel 151 produced from coconut oil and its blend can be used in running diesel engine guite effectively. Engine 152 parameters evaluated, are similar to those with diesel from fossil fuel, which makes biodiesel an 153 alternative fuel to mitigate the present energy and environmental crises. 154

# 155 **REFERENCES**

156

Awolu O. A and Layokun S.K(2013). Optimization of two-step transesterification production of biodiesel
 from neem seed(Azadirachta Indica) Oil. International Journal of Energy and environmental
 engineering.4:39

160

Beg R. A, Sarker M.R.I and Pervez M. R(2010). Production of diesel fuel from used engine oil.
 International Journal of Mechanical and Mechatronics Engineering. Vol.10, NO 2. PP1-6

163

Brahma, H.S and Babu A.V(2013) An Experimental investigation on emissions of neat Mahua biodiesel
 using Urea-SCR. International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research Volume 2, Issue 8, Pp39-44

167 Dias, A.P.S, Puna, J, Correia M. J.N, Nogueira, I and Bordado, J(2013). Effect of the oil acidity on the

168 methanolysis performances of lime catalyst biodiesel from waste frying oils. Fuel Processing Technology 169 Volume 116, pp94-100 170 171 Friso .D(2014) Brake thermal efficiency and BSFC of diesel 172 Engines: Mathematical modeling and comparison between diesel oil and biodiesel fueling. Applied 173 Mathematical Sciences 8 (130): 6515 - 6528 174 175 Gopal K. N and Karupparaj R. T(2015) Effects of pongamia biodiesel on emission and combustion 176 characteristics of Di compression ignition engine. Ain Shams Engineering Journal. Volume 6, Issue 1 177 pp297-305 178 179 Gowthaman, S and Velmurugan K(2012) Performance and emission characteristics of direct injection 180 diesel engine using bio-diesel with Scr technology. International Journal of Engineering Research and 181 Applications (IJERA) Vol. 2, Issue 5, pp.1083-1089 182 183 Islam, M.S, Ahmed, A.S, Islam, A and Abdul Aziz, S Xain L.C and Mridha M(2014) Study on emission 184 and performance of diesel engine using 185 castor biodiesel. Journal of Chemistry, Volume 2014, pp1-7 186 187 188 Kalligeros, S, Zannikos, F, Stournas, S Lois, E Anastopoulos, G 189 Teas, Ch and Sakellaropoulos F(2003) An investigation of using biodiesel/marine diesel blends on the 190 performance of a stationary diesel engine. Biomass and Bioenergy 24 : 141 - 149 191 192 Kolhe, A. V, Shelke, R. E and Khandare, S. S(2014). Performance emission and combustion 193 characteristics of a variable compression ratio diesel engine fueled with karanj biodiesel and its blends. 194 International Journal Mechanical, Aerospace, Industrial, Mechatronics and Manufacturing 195 Engineering.Vol.8 No 4. Pp806-813 196 197 Koti1, R.V., Prakash, S.B., Kiran K and Ravikumar T(2014) An investigation on the performance and 198 emission characteristics of a direct injection diesel engine using safflower oil and milk scum oil as a 199 biodiesel. International Refereed Journal of Engineering and Science (IRJES) Volume 3, Issue 4 PP.19-200 27 201 202 Lenin, A. H. Ravi R and Thyagarajan K(2013) 203 Performance characteristics of a diesel engine using 204 Mahua biodiesel as alternate fuel. Iranica Journal of Energy & Environment 4 (2): 136-141 205 206 Nabi,M.N, Rahman, M.M and Akhter, M.S(2009)Biodiesel from cotton seed oil and its effect on engine 207 performance and exhaust emissions .Applied Thermal Engineering, 29: 2265–2270 208 209 Nidal H. A and Khaled A, (2015) A Comparative study of almond biodiesel-diesel blends for diesel engine 210 in terms of performance and emissions. BioMed Research International, vol. 2015, PP1-8 211 212 Oberweis, S and Al-Shemmeri T.T(2010) Effect of biodiesel blending on emissions and efficiency in a 213 stationary diesel engine. International Conference on Renewable Energies and Power 214 Quality(ICREPQ'10)Granada (Spain), pp1-7 215 216 Puna, J.f. Correia, M.J.N. Dias, A P.S. Gomes, J. Bordado, J(2013). Biodiesel production from waste 217 frying oils over lime cataltysts. Reac. Kinet. Mech Cat DOI10.1007/s11144-013-0557-2 218 219 Prajapati, J. B. Panchal, P. R and Patel, T. M(2014). Performance and Emission characteristics of CI 220 engine fueled with diesel-biodiesel blends. IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering. Vol.11 221 Issue 3. pp114-121 222 223 Raheman, R, Jena, P.C and Jodav, S. S(2013) Performance of a diesel engine with blends of 224 biodiesel(from a mixture of oils) and high-speed diesel. International Journal of Energy and Environmental 225 Engineering. 4:6

226	
	Dee V. V. H. Veleti, D.C. Herikeren V.C. Deiv A.V.C. and Dedd D.N.(2000). Her of Jetrenke eil method
227	Rao Y. V. H, Voleti, R.S, Hariharan V.S, Raju A.V.S and Redd P.N(2009). Use of Jatropha oil methyl
228	ester and its blends as alternative fuel in diesel engine. Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical
229	sciences and Engineering. Vol.31, NO 3, PP253-260
230	
231	Savariraj S, Ganapathy T and Saravanan C.G(2013)a. Characterization of the DI diesel engine powered
232	by mango seed oil methyl ester with fuel additive. European Journal of Applied Engineering and Scientific
233	Research. 2(4):44-50
234	
235	Savariraj, S Ganapathy, T Saravanan C.G(2013)b. Performance, emission and combustion
236	characteristics of fish-oil biodiesel engine. European Journal of Applied Engineering and Scientific
237	Research. 2 (3):26-32
238	
	Charme C. Dandetine D. and Astronal C. K(2012)
239	Sharma,G, Dandotiya, D and Agrawal S. K(2013).
240	Experimental investigation of performance parameters of single cylinder ic engine using mustard oil.
241	International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER) Vol.3, Issue.2, pp-832-838
242	
243	Shetye A. M, Ganguly A, Simha S and Acharjee. S(2013). Experimental investigation of tribological
244	properties of lubricating oils for biodiesel fuelled single cylinder diesel engine. International Journal of
245	Engineering Research and Technology. Vol. 2. Issue 7 pp212-220
246	
247	Shirneshan, A. R, Almassi, M, Gbobadian, B. and Najafi,G. H(2014). Investigating the effects of biodiesel
248	from waste cooking oil and engine operating conditions on the diesel engine performance by response
249	surface methodology. Transactions of Mechanical Engineering. Vol. 38, No M2.pp289-301
250	
	Singh V and Single A(2015) Comparative analysis of Introphy and Karania hasad hisdiagal properties
251	Singh, Y and Singla, A(2015) Comparative analysis of Jatropha and Karanja-based biodiesel properties,
252	performance and exhaust emission characteristics in an unmodified diesel engine. Pollution, 1(1):23-30.
253	
254	Sreenivas P, Mamilla V.R, and Sekhar K.C(2011) Development of biodiesel from castor oil International
255	Journal of Energy Science. Vol.1 No.3 2011 PP.192-197
256	
257	Srithar, K, Balasubramaman, K.A, Pavendan, V and Kumar, B. A(2014). Experimental investigations of
258	mixing of two biodiesels blended with diesel as alternative fuel for diesel for diesel engines. Journal of
259	King Saud University- Engineering Sciences. In press.
260	
261	Teran, GM, Yaman, SA(2015) Production of biodiesel from coconut oil to power a diesel .engine.
262	Postgraduate Diploma project submitted to postgraduate school, Federal University of Technology
263	Minna, Nigeria
264	
	Teste D., O., E. Michael D. and D. H. A(2014). Estimates the sectoristics of a Olympic survey in a with a
265	Tesfa B, Gu, F Mishra R and Ball A(2014) Emission characteristics of a CI engine running with a
266	range of biodiesel feedstocks. Energies 7: 334-350;
267	
268	Umesh T, Manjunath H N, Rukmangadha P, Madhu D(2014)
269	Experimental study of performance and emission analysis of rice bran oil as an alternative fuel for an I.C
270	engine. IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE) Volume 11, Issue 4 PP 130-
271	134
272	
273	
274	Wirawan, SS, Tambunan, AH, Djamin, M, Nabetani ,H(2008) The effect of palm biodiesel fuel on the
275	performance and emission of the automotive diesel engine. Agricultural Engineering International: the
276	CIGR Ejournal. Manuscript EE 07 005. Vol. X :1-13
277	
278	•