



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_29264
Title of the Manuscript:	FIXED DOSES COMBINATIONS ACTING ON CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM - UTILIZATION AND GENERIC COMPETITION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>I think that the presented paper could be interesting for a reasonable number of scientists in pharmaco-epidemiology and pharmaco-economics areas. However, some problems exist. As a whole, the manuscript is rather poorly written and very difficult to reading. The Authors use a lot of abbreviations but they are not used properly. Some abbreviations are used without explanation. The full names or abbreviations should be used constantly.</p> <p>To me, the list of abbreviations should be added.</p> <p>Some comparisons are not clear, e.g.: "Diuretics, b-blockers, angiotensin receptor blockers were more frequently used in females than in males - 22%, 47%, 22%, resp. 19%, 42%, 19%, unlike ACE-inhibitors - 29% vs 26%." It is not clear to me.</p> <p>The sentence "FDC were underused." It needs some comments. What does it mean?</p> <p>"The study confirms that the generic and therapeutic competition leads to significant price decrease and change the trends in the FDC utilization in cardiology." It is not clear. There are no any comparisons between original and generic products.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anna Gumieniczek
Department, University & Country	Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Medical University of Lublin, Poland