



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_27650
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of calcium ion binding parameter of human salivary alpha-amylase by partial inactivation kinetics
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors should properly review the language usage. E.g Phrases like therein (page 1 line14), herein (page 4 line 86) and re-enacted herein, should all be replaced with more scientific words. The authors should also consider referencing a method from an already published work rather an article in press.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The authors should review all suggestions and corrections made on the manuscript and properly effect them.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research work was properly carried out and results obtained conformed to previous studies, well explained and properly referenced.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tatah Verwiyeh Silas
Department, University & Country	Federal University Wukari, Nigeria