SCIENCEDOMAIN international





SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_27650
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of calcium ion binding parameter of human salivary alpha-amylase by partial inactivation kinetics
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that \underline{NO} manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	nil	
Minor REVISION comments	Avoid repeated use of human salivary alpha amylase in the text as you have already explained the term in abstract. So better to use HSαA in the text. Many things are exaggerated means while giving the reference of authors own paper the terms re-enacted, choice of my discretion can be avoided. Also the length of sentences should be cut short. In methods section for using a specific technique, its related discussion can be avoided. E.g. pg-6: section 3.2.1. discussing why centrifugation is used to obtain clear filtrate is not needed.	
Optional/General comments		
	The manuscript is designed well. The methods, results and discussion section are well described. Figures can be more clear. Conclusion is according to the objectives	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	H. J. Bhosale
Department, University & Country	School of Life Sciences, SRTM University, India