



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_27342
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN VISCERAL OBESITY, BODY MASS INDEX AND BLOOD PRESSURE AMONG OSUN STATE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION STAFF, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>This is an interesting study which aims to determine relationship between visceral obesity, body mass index (BMI) and blood pressure (BP). There are several concerns, and the main one is that the aim is not answered along the manuscript. In addition, there are other issues that authors should be aware:</p> <p>Introduction: references are a bit old (the most recent is from 2014). What is the novelty of the study? Is the reference from AHA (2005) the most recent?</p> <p>Methods: it is not clear the sampling procedures, neither the formulas used. Was there a sample power? The cutoffs of BP and BMI are based in what authors? Who performed anthropometric measurements and the other data collection?</p> <p>Results: There is no information about blood pressure. Figure 1 is not clear, what do authors want to represent? How did authors found the cutoffs of age? How did authors find the knowledge score? Table 5 is not clear.</p> <p>Discussion: This part is critical. What are the main gains of the study? Are there any limitations? And strengths?</p> <p>English should be improved throughout the manuscript.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	University of Minho, Portugal