



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_26171
Title of the Manuscript:	Current And The Future Of Phosphate Fertilizer Use In Africa: Challenges And Opportunities (A review)
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Page 2 Line 36: of the global average [7].</p> <p>Page 2 Line 52: of the global production [12]. As a result</p> <p>Page 3 Line 58: phosphorus [14]. With this</p> <p>Page 3 Line 68: Figure 1. The bars should be in color</p> <p>Page 4 Line 87: Table 1: please write in portrait form not in landscape</p> <p>Page 6 Line 157: low P soils [33]. Therefore, there</p> <p>Page 7 Line 184: Use of Organic Materials</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bahaa El Din Mekki
Department, University & Country	Field Crops Research Dept, National Research Centre, Egypt