



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_25891
Title of the Manuscript:	Preparation and biomineralization of injectable hydrogel composite based chitosan-tetronic and biphasic calcium phosphate nanoparticles
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-	-
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>-#line 84: What is the details of the compression test, i.e, specimen dimension, number of specimen, loading rate.</p> <p>#line 163: First, authors say "the compressive strength values of" with respect to Figure 4. Second, they show that the vertical axis of the Figure 4 is named as "Stress". Which one is true, stress or strength?</p> <p>#line 215: What is the expression of "data not shown here". Authors give some information about the XRD analysis with its range in #line 87. It is to be clarified.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	-

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Mehmet Yetmez</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Mechanical Engineering Department, Bulent Ecevit University, Turkey</i>