

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_25819
Title of the Manuscript:	'For the Love of the Environment' Reflections on Professional Music Practice and Climate Change in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I have carefully read the article to review and I understand that it is not a research paper but an opinion. I will try to explain my point of view in the next lines.	
	The writing style, photographs and improper way to refer to its contents, even the vocabulary used are not adequate for a research article.	
	The link between noise pollution and climate change is forced. Referring to climate change is unnecessary: the main problem that the text attempts to presents is high noise pollution levels in Nigeria and it seems to be serious indeed.	
	However, the lack of objective or quantified information does not allow to qualify the text as supported by or referred to a research work.	
	The subject is undoubtedly relevant but the way it is treated in the submitted text is not the right one for a research journal.	
	Many particular comments could be added (e.g. about the bibliography and the wrong way it is referred in the text) but I don't want to encourage the	



SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

	author to think that such small changes could convert this text in a research paper.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Universidad de la República, Uruguay