SCIENCEDOMAIN international



www.sciencedomain.org

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Advances in Research	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_24734	
Title of the Manuscript:	Biosensor strategies to detect serum glycobiomarkers	
Type of the Article	Review paper	

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments	
Although serious major revision was recommended in the former review, the corrections		
made by authors seem to be rather coarse and superficial. Although some wrong or confusing		
statements have been corrected, the article still cannot be accepted because of essential		
confusing content.		
The most confusing part still remains the description of the glycosylation pathway:		
 Some information is duplicated (elongation of N-glycan core), this handicaps 		
following of the text		
Trimannosyl core is never further cleaved – this was mentione in the former review		
 Lane 73-74 the added sentence doesn't make sense 		
 Lane 80 it cannot be stated "without mannose residues", as all N-glycans contain 		
internal trimannosyl core (see above); they lack terminal uncovered mannose		
• Lane 85 " are the most frequent" Are there any other monosaccharides in human		
glycoproteins?		
Regrettably I feel the authors still are not familiar with the glycosylation pathway and		
glycoprotein structures, which seems unacceptable when authoring the manuscript on		
glycobiomarkers.		
Lanes 293, 295 I suppose the authors mean anti-PSA antibodies??		
Despite the correction of English language has been recommended in the former review, this		
was not done. The same spelling and grammar errors are present, even those indicated in the		
former review. Evaluation of English is necessary.		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Miroslawa Ferens-Sieczkowska
Department, University & Country	Dept. of Chemistry and Immunochemistry, Medical University of Wroclaw, Wroclaw, Poland