SCIENCEDOMAIN international



www.sciencedomain.org

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Advances in Research	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_24734	
Title of the Manuscript:	Biosensor strategies to detect serum glycobiomarkers	
Type of the Article	Review paper	

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)Authors' response to final evaluator's comments1)As suggested in the first evaluation report, the author should include a legend, which briefly explain the figure, in each figure. However, i do not see any improvement in the legend part. You can refer to the style of writing the legend from this paper: a) Ruiz-May et al. The secreted plant N-glycoproteome and associated secretory pathways. Frontiers in plant science 2012, 3:117. 2)The grammatical errors still can be noticed. Please find an English expert to proofread before publishing. 3) Since the manuscript has been focused on the electrochemical biosensing, the abstract should be rewritten in line with the main focus of the discussion. Therefore, my suggestion is to request the author to revise the revised manuscript according to the suggestion above.Authors' response to final evaluator's comments		
briefly explain the figure, in each figure. However, i do not see any improvement in the legend part. You can refer to the style of writing the legend from this paper: a) Ruiz-May et al. The secreted plant N-glycoproteome and associated secretory pathways. Frontiers in plant science 2012, 3:117. 2)The grammatical errors still can be noticed. Please find an English expert to proofread before publishing. 3) Since the manuscript has been focused on the electrochemical biosensing, the abstract should be rewritten in line with the main focus of the discussion. Therefore, my suggestion is to request the author to revise the revised manuscript	FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
 legend part. You can refer to the style of writing the legend from this paper: a) Ruiz-May et al. The secreted plant N-glycoproteome and associated secretory pathways. Frontiers in plant science 2012, 3:117. 2) The grammatical errors still can be noticed. Please find an English expert to proofread before publishing. 3) Since the manuscript has been focused on the electrochemical biosensing, the abstract should be rewritten in line with the main focus of the discussion. Therefore, my suggestion is to request the author to revise the revised manuscript 	1)As suggested in the first evaluation report, the author should include a legend, which	
 a) Ruiz-May et al. The secreted plant N-glycoproteome and associated secretory pathways. Frontiers in plant science 2012, 3:117. 2) The grammatical errors still can be noticed. Please find an English expert to proofread before publishing. 3) Since the manuscript has been focused on the electrochemical biosensing, the abstract should be rewritten in line with the main focus of the discussion. Therefore, my suggestion is to request the author to revise the revised manuscript 	briefly explain the figure, in each figure. However, i do not see any improvement in the	
pathways. Frontiers in plant science 2012, 3:117. 2)The grammatical errors still can be noticed. Please find an English expert to proofread before publishing. 3) Since the manuscript has been focused on the electrochemical biosensing, the abstract should be rewritten in line with the main focus of the discussion. Therefore, my suggestion is to request the author to revise the revised manuscript		
 2)The grammatical errors still can be noticed. Please find an English expert to proofread before publishing. 3) Since the manuscript has been focused on the electrochemical biosensing, the abstract should be rewritten in line with the main focus of the discussion. Therefore, my suggestion is to request the author to revise the revised manuscript 	a) Ruiz-May et al. The secreted plant N-glycoproteome and associated secretory	
proofread before publishing. 3) Since the manuscript has been focused on the electrochemical biosensing, the abstract should be rewritten in line with the main focus of the discussion. Therefore, my suggestion is to request the author to revise the revised manuscript	pathways. Frontiers in plant science 2012, 3:117.	
3) Since the manuscript has been focused on the electrochemical biosensing, the abstract should be rewritten in line with the main focus of the discussion. Therefore, my suggestion is to request the author to revise the revised manuscript	2)The grammatical errors still can be noticed. Please find an English expert to	
abstract should be rewritten in line with the main focus of the discussion. Therefore, my suggestion is to request the author to revise the revised manuscript	proofread before publishing.	
Therefore, my suggestion is to request the author to revise the revised manuscript	3) Since the manuscript has been focused on the electrochemical biosensing, the	
	abstract should be rewritten in line with the main focus of the discussion.	
according to the suggestion above.	Therefore, my suggestion is to request the author to revise the revised manuscript	
	according to the suggestion above.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alan Mu Kang Wai
Department, University & Country	Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, University Putra , Malaysia