



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_24059
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk of residual aluminum in treated water with aluminum sulphate
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I agree publication after major correction. Authors should make the following modification before publishing process: Insufficient literature review(more recent) Poorly formulated equations Failure to follow the journal's Instructions for Authors Abstract, Sentences are not clear and concise Large number of careless errors like poor grammar or spelling mistakes as in abstract and head of table (different language). Poorly designed tables and figure Where are the electronic scanning microscopy images? as stated in text	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In my opinion, this paper required major correction before publication.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Randa M.Osman
Department, University & Country	Chemical Engineering and Pilot Plant Department, National Research Centre, Egypt