



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_23418</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF A DIESEL ENGINE RUN ON BIODIESEL PRODUCED FROM COCONUT OIL AND ITS BLENDS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Articles</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is very difficult to say that this is an article with some new findings.</li> <li>• Design of experiment is very poor. There is no scientific process in evaluation of the results.</li> <li>• The experimental process for biodiesel from coconut oil production is not mentioned. Does the biodiesel comply to the ASTM standards.</li> <li>• Lack of depth. Novelty of the work cannot be ascertained.</li> <li>• The study is plain and the results are too preliminary. Result is very brief and the discussion is just too plain</li> <li>• There is a real need to check what the current trends are, and to tailor the paper in light of new findings. Conclusion is not enlightening nor highlights any new or valuable findings.</li> </ul>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anonymous</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Tenaga Nasional, Malaysia</b>