



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_22742
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Biological Control Antagonists Adsorbed on Chitosan Immobilised Silica Nanocomposite on Ralstonia solanacearum and Growth of Tomato Seedlings
Type of the Article	Original research Articles

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript falls within the scope of journal with interesting results. Some minor points to correct:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Add the following sentence at the end of line 65: "Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate the effect of biological control antagonists adsorbed on chitosan immobilised silica nanocomposite on <i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i> and growth of tomato seedlings."</li> <li>2. Referencing style: In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [1]. Therefore, delete the author names in the text.</li> </ol>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>The manuscript is well structured with its objectives well achieved. The discussion is well presented and consistent with the introduction and materials and methods. However, the manuscript is too long and this makes it difficult to follow. Given the strength of the data, it is possible that author(s) could write two papers.</p> <p>Paper 1: "Efficacy of the BCA-CISNC on <i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i> pathogen" with focus on the results and discussion presented on line 281 to line 466.</p> <p>Paper 2: "Efficacy of the BCA-CISNC on germination and growth of Tomato seedlings" with focus on the results and discussion presented on line 467 to line 756.</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Edward Missanjo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Malawi College of Forestry and Wildlife, Malawi</b>