SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2015_AIR_18480
Title of the Manuscript:	A MODEL FOR CALCULATING THE MACHINING TIME OF A LASER CUTTING MACHINE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that \underline{NO} manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the
		manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is
		mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors performed an effort to present the details of	
	their proposed model but the discussion of the results	
	and the general presentation of the paper is far away	
	from being satisfactory Some main comments are	
	summarized below:	
	? The discussion of the obtained results should be more	
	sufficient as deeper explanations on this issue are	
	required. This part is the most important part of a	
	manuscript but a significantly short section is dedicated	
	to commenting on the obtained results.	
	? The English of the paper is poor with many	
	grammatical errors and spelling mistakes. A native	
	English speaker can be helpful.	
	? The contribution of the paper should be better	
	highlighted within the introduction part. The topic is	
	widely discussed in the literature and even the proposed	
	methodologies includes some different features, the	
	novelty is not sufficiently clear.	
	? The technical field applicability is not widely evaluated	
	also with relevant computational statistics analysis.	
	In its current state, the level of English throughout your	
	manuscript does not meet the journal's desired standard.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muciz Ozcan
Department, University & Country	Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Necmettin Erbakan University, Turkey