



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_30096
Title of the Manuscript:	FOUNDATION SOIL CHARACTERISATION FOR A BUILDING WITH MULTIPLE CRACKS AT TARKWA, GHANA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In Table 1 and in the abstract specific gravity lacks of unit.</p> <p>In Table some values of depth are not aligned. Some minor adjustments are required due to the visibility of Figure 2.</p> <p>Check the references formatting according to instructions for authors.</p> <p>I suggest to insert a geological chart in section 2.</p> <p>What were the characteristics of the building?</p> <p>What were the characteristics of the crack? Are photos available?</p>	<p>Done.</p> <p>Done.</p> <p>Done.</p> <p>Done.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The paper only presents results of geotechnical tests and does not consider the building (characteristics, materials, geometry...).	

As per the guideline of editorial office we follow VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>