



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_29456
Title of the Manuscript:	Appraising the students' level of cognitive engagement to achieve English language curriculum objectives at International Islamic school, Gombak
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use APA style in citation throughout the study • Use APA style in the references • Literature review: needs more studies on the subject of the study. • Section "2.5" has to be moved under "Literature review" 	<p>Both citation and referencing style adhered by the Journal is not APA. Thus, I strictly stick to the template provided.</p> <p>Sir, I think enough has been provided regarding the subject of study on the literature part.</p> <p>Regarding section 2.5, it accepted to have literature review as an independent section and empirical studies as sub-section under literature review. Many articles were published at science domain with such structure.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The study has to be edited carefully, example Lines 11, 15, 33, 35, 39, 44, 46, 51, 67, 79, 222, • Line 12: 5-point Likert scale • Line 12: statistics • Line 12: deeper • Line 18: delete "to" • Line 29: be absent • Indent every paragraph • There should be more items on the survey • More experts have to be consulted regarding validating the survey 	<p>Sir, I appreciate your profound efforts.</p> <p>I edited the work and effected all the highlighted corrections.</p> <p>Based on the Journal's template, there is no need for indentation of paragraph.</p> <p>Sir, I adopted the questionnaire, which has been used for relevant studies.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

		The experts consulted are good in the area and unanimously agreed that the item are sufficient enough to answer the raised questions.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		