



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_28044
Title of the Manuscript:	Hidden oscillations in fractional-order multidimensional chaotic systems
Type of the Article	Scientific article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Very poor English (huge number of mistakes). The problem statement is unclear. It seems to me that this paper is a part of another large investigation which cannot be understood without additional explanations. Authors add additional initial explanation to clearize the main issues and to correct seriously English text.	Statement of the problem is highlighted by separate paragraphs in Section 2 (The goal of the problems). This article is an excerpt from an important and complex scientific research, for understanding which the relevant new references are given. Article fully redesigned, written again (taking into account the opinions of all reviewers).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Author must increase Conclusions section with more accurate information about main results of the paper and about possible future developments. References must be available for western readers. Now the most of reference are shut for the most of readers.	Sections 2 and 5 are marked as a thesis study and the scope of possible application of the algorithm. Presents new links are fully accessible to everyone.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is suggested to author to agree with additional modernization.	Article completely redesigned to meet the requirements and wishes of all reviewers.