



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_27650</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Determination of calcium ion binding parameter of human salivary alpha-amylase by partial inactivation kinetics</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>The authors should properly review the language usage. E.g Phrases like therein (page 1 line14), herein (page 4 line 86) and re-enacted herein, should all be replaced with more scientific words. The authors should also consider referencing a method from an already published work rather an article in press.</b>	Therein is replaced with 'in this study'; re-enacted is replaced with 'adopted...'
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	The authors should review all suggestions and corrections made on the manuscript and properly effect them.	Suggestions were implemented.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The research work was properly carried out and results obtained conformed to previous studies, well explained and properly referenced.	