



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_27342
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN VISCERAL OBESITY, BODY MASS INDEX AND BLOOD PRESSURE AMONG OSUN STATE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION STAFF, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Minor REVISION comments	<p>This article is suitable for this journal. However, please do the checking and proof reading especially spacing, abbreviations which underlined in PDF and other corrections which may improve the overall quality of the write up. These are the list of the correction need to be done by the authors:</p> <p>Abstract: Redundant sentences in methodology (117 men and 99 women with the age range of 26-66 years) and results (there were 117 50.7% male and 99 42.9% female participants between the ages of 26 and 66 years). Either one should be deleted.</p> <p>Introduction: What is HDL? Put the full name for the first time. Line 32, just put MetS rather than metabolic syndrome which already stated for the first time in line 29. Please check other abbreviations as well throughout the manuscript for example body mass index.</p> <p>Material and methods: Why the authors put two different formula in line 81 and 84 which will make the readers confuse. One is enough.</p> <p>Instruments for data collection: Line 99, the questionnaire used is not clear, what is</p>	<p>Effected</p> <p>Effected</p> <p>Effected</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>the likert-scale?. Please clarify in this section rather than put as appendix, the readers can imagine it.</p> <p>Results and discussion: Check the spacing line 116 – 53(45.3%), line 121 – 41to 50 years</p> <p>Appendix: No need to list the abbreviations and put the results appendix 1 to 4 in the appendix since it is not a dissertation thesis.</p> <p>References: It would have been good to have updated references since I realise the authors used quite old references for example reference no 6 in 1947. Please check others as well which I already underlined in PDF</p>	<p>Effectuated</p> <p>Effectuated</p> <p>Effectuated</p> <p>Effectuated</p>
--	---	---