



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_27342</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN VISCERAL OBESITY, BODY MASS INDEX AND BLOOD PRESSURE AMONG OSUN STATE COLLEGE OF EDUCATION STAFF, NIGERIA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Line 39: IDF should be in full for the first time in the text.</li> <li>2. Line 49: add TO after attributed.</li> <li>3. Line 93: change individual to INDIVIDUALS.</li> <li>4. Line 109: state type of correlation study used</li> <li>5. Line 110: change was to WERE.</li> <li>6. Line 126: change while to WHEN.</li> <li>7. Line 164: Adduce possible reasons for your findings.</li> <li>8. Lines 176-178: Delete highlighted area</li> <li>9. Line 187: Indicate whether consent was actually granted.</li> <li>10. Line 192: Indicate whether consent was actually granted by the participants.</li> </ol>	Changes have been effected.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>A very good study. Possible reasons from the community respondents should be adduced to strengthen the findings. The portion highlighted should be deleted. The study is not on metabolic syndrome. Conclusion ought to be precise and concise.</p>	High prevalence of hypertension was the reason these respondents were sought for participation