



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_26794
Title of the Manuscript:	A Computational Method for the Solution of Electric Circuit Problems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research paper titled: A Computational Method for the solution of Electric Circuit Problem is a well written paper. However I would suggest that the author include some comparison of results to test the efficiency of the method with existing methods. Though the graph showed the convergence of the method, but the efficiency is ambiguous.	We searched for literatures to see where the same problems were solved so that we can make comparison, but we didn't find any. These problems have not been solved in literature.