



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_26125
Title of the Manuscript:	Opuntia sp. Cactus: Biological Characteristics, Cultivation and Applications
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	N/A	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	N/A	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>General comments</p> <p>Excellent review of this subject. Only suggestions for consideration.</p> <p>Section 2: should contain taxonomy and morphological characteristics. Delete physiological characteristics as there is not much of physiological characteristics described in that section. It would be better placed with chemical composition in section 3 and discuss physiological characteristics along-side chemical composition. This would provide clarity.</p>	<p>We thank the referee for generally positive comments to improve the manuscript.</p> <p>With most of our respect, we disagree from the suggested changes in Section 2. In our opinion "TAXONOMY, MORPHOLOGICAL AND PHYSIOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS" are properly described in the item.</p>