



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_25891</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Preparation and biomineralization of injectable hydrogel composite based chitosan-tetronic and biphasic calcium phosphate nanoparticles</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	-	-
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>-</p> <p><b>#line 84:</b> What is the details of the compression test, i.e, specimen dimension, number of specimen, loading rate.</p> <p><b>#line 163:</b> First, authors say “the compressive strength values of ....” with respect to Figure 4. Second, they show that the vertical axis of the Figure 4 is named as “Stress”. Which one is true, stress or strength?</p> <p><b>#line 215:</b> What is the expression of “data not shown here”. Authors give some information about the XRD analysis with its range in #line 87. It is to be clarified.</p>	<p>Details of compressive test were inserted in the characterization section of the revised manuscript.</p> <p>Misusing between of stress and strength was corrected in the revised manuscript</p> <p>Expression of XRD was inserted in the revised manuscript enclosing XRD profile</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	-	-