



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_25819</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>'For the Love of the Environment' Reflections on Professional Music Practice and Climate Change in Nigeria</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Introduction is not described good enough. The authors should not be used I or we in the research article. I think the author write the article as a story' style. Methodology is not suitable for an original research. The method of the noise measurement is necessary for these types article. Also, there is no numerical data and data analysis in the results section. All parts of the manuscript should be revised carefully.	The introduction has been improved on and the use of personal pronouns has been reviewed. The method of noise measurement has been stated with numerical data. I have added another step to the methodology by measuring the sound pressure level of selected public places of which results were expressed graphically. With the current state of the paper, it is perhaps publishable sir. The article should be reconsidered for a research and publishable one. As a young scholar, i need your academic mentorship to rise in this noble carrier.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The manuscript may be accepted for a review article. This is not suitable for an original research article format in this state. Therefore, author/s will be added some numerical data or the manuscript will be accepted as a review article.	The article has been worked upon with improved quality of standard.