



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_25819
Title of the Manuscript:	'For the Love of the Environment' Reflections on Professional Music Practice and Climate Change in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Too long sentences and novelty of research doesn't state clearly The picture doesn't clearly show as the focus the relation with the climate changes as refocus aspect. 	I have worked on the sentences and state clearly the novelty of the research.
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The focus and locus of research does not clear Too long abstracts 	I have reduced the abstract and the paper now has a focus