



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_25819
Title of the Manuscript:	'For the Love of the Environment' Reflections on Professional Music Practice and Climate Change in Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I have carefully read the article to review and I understand that it is not a research paper but an opinion. I will try to explain my point of view in the next lines.</p> <p>The writing style, photographs and improper way to refer to its contents, even the vocabulary used are not adequate for a research article.</p> <p>The link between noise pollution and climate change is forced. Referring to climate change is unnecessary: the main problem that the text attempts to presents is high noise pollution levels in Nigeria and it seems to be serious indeed.</p> <p>However, the lack of objective or quantified information does not allow to qualify the text as supported by or referred to a research work.</p> <p>The subject is undoubtedly relevant but the way it is treated in the submitted text is not the right one for a research journal.</p>	<p>I have restructured the paper in a better way to make it more interesting to be a research paper.</p> <p>The vocabulary as mentioned has been improved upon.</p> <p>The link between noise pollution and climate change has been established.</p> <p>Other objectives and quantified information has been added to the paper</p> <p>I have done all possible to make this paper a research paper.</p> <p>I have worked on the bibliography and referencing.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Many particular comments could be added (e.g. about the bibliography and the wrong way it is referred in the text) but I don't want to encourage the author to think that such small changes could convert this text in a research paper.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		