



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_23418</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF A DIESEL ENGINE RUN ON BIODIESEL PRODUCED FROM COCONUT OIL AND ITS BLENDS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Articles</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The subject of the paper clearly falls within the scope of this Journal.</p> <p>The paper is very interesting, well written and well organized, and represents some advancement over the actual state-of-the-art. The ways and means are well described as well as the obtained results which are thoroughly discussed and conclusions are well drawn. The paper is also supported by some literature review. However, in order to make for a stronger paper, I suggest that the authors should cite and discuss the following relevant paper referring to heterogeneous catalysts for biodiesel production thus comparing the obtained values:</p> <p>– Dias et al, “Effect of the oil acidity on the methanolysis performances of lime catalyst biodiesel from waste frying oils (WFO)”, Fuel Processing Technology, 116, 94-100 (2013) - DOI: 10.1016/j.fuproc.2013.05.002</p>	NOTED AND EFFECTED
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		