



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_23224
Title of the Manuscript:	Equilibrium Isotherm Study for Removal of Mn (II) from Aqueous Solutions by Using Novel Bioadsorbent Tinospora cordifolia
Type of the Article	Original Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The authors have presented the removal of Mn (II) using bioadsorbent.</p> <p>The authors have to improve language throughout the manuscript and also properly represent the scientific notation. For Example (correlation coefficient) R² should be mentioned as R².</p> <p>The paper may accepted with minor revision</p>	<p>I agree that there is some corrections and grammar mistakes in my paper. In my side, try to correct the paper. Thank you for your valuable remarks.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		