



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_21981
Title of the Manuscript:	PROTEIN QUALITY EVALUATION OF WEANING FOODS FORMULATED FROM MAIZE GRUEL 'OGI' AND CRAYFISH USING COMBINED TRADITIONAL PROCESSING TECHNOLOGY.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The title contradicts what is in the manuscript and I begin to doubt what the author(s) is saying. 2. I cannot find any contribution to knowledge 3. Formulation of diet should not be directly tested on animal. I think the proximate composition, functional properties and antinational factors supposed to give general view of what the diet contain. These entire things are missing. 4. I said earlier that crayfish is not cheap and the traditional processing method must also have influence on the diet. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We have modified the title to 'nutritional quality of weaning foods formulated from maize gruel 'ogi' and crayfish using combined traditional processing technology'. 2. the contribution to knowledge is the process technology that is toasting which gives improved flavour without compromising nutritional quality and can be practised at village level. 3. The physicochemical properties aspect of this study has already been published. That was where we reported the proximate composition, functional properties, sensory attributes and amino acid profile of the blends. 4. In Nigeria crayfish is cheap, affordable and available throughout the year in the open market especially in riverine areas.