



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Advances in Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AIR_21981 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | PROTEIN QUALITY EVALUATION OF WEANING FOODS FORMULATED FROM MAIZE GRUEL 'OGI' AND CRAYFISH USING COMBINED TRADITIONAL PROCESSING TECHNOLOGY. |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | The paper is focused in an interesting subject yet, although the scientific subject is well organized and discussed, the English style is very poor. Accordingly, I recommend the paper for publication only after a sound revision of the English style. Besides, the authors should consider to improve the abstract (particularly in the results) by simplifying it. Also, some references are old and the authors should consider to introduce newer ones. | noted |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |